arXiv:1606.03290v3 [math.AG] 3 Apr 2017

LINEAR SYSTEMS ON IRREGULAR VARIETIES

MIGUEL ÁNGEL BARJA, RITA PARDINI, AND LIDIA STOPPINO

Abstract. Let X be a normal complex projective variety, $T \subseteq X$ a subvariety, $a\colon X \to A$ a morphism to an abelian variety such that $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ injects into $\mathrm{Pic}^0(T)$ and let L be a line bundle on X.

Denote by $X^{(d)} \to X$ the connected étale cover induced by the d-th multiplication map of A, by $T^{(d)} \subseteq X^{(d)}$ the preimage of T and by $L^{(d)}$ the pull-back of L to $X^{(d)}$. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ general, we study the restricted linear system $|L^{(d)} \otimes a^*\alpha|_{|T^{(d)}}$: if for some d this gives a generically finite map $\varphi^{(d)}$, we show that f $\varphi^{(d)}$ is independent of α or d sufficiently large and divisible, and is induced by the *eventual map* $\varphi\colon T \to Z$ such that $a_{|T}$ factorizes through φ.

The generic value $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L)$ of $h^0(X_{|T}, L \otimes \alpha)$ is called the *(restricted) continuous rank*. We prove that if M is the pull back of an ample divisor of A, then $x \mapsto h^0_a(X_{|T}, L + xM)$ extends to a continuous function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, which is differentiable except possibly at countably many points; when $X = T$ we compute the left derivative explicitly.

In the case when X and T are smooth, combining the above results we prove *Clifford-Severi type inequalities*, i.e., geographical bounds of the form

$$\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(L) \geq C(m) h^0_a(X_{|T}, L),$$

where $C(m) = \mathcal{O}(m!)$.

Finally, in the case $X = T$ we characterize varieties and line bundles for which the Clifford-Severi inequalities are equalities.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14C20 (14J29, 14J30, 14J35, 14J40).

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Set-up and preliminaries 4
3. The eventual map and the eventual degree 10
4. The continuous rank function 16
5. Castelnuovo inequalities 22
6. Clifford-Severi inequalities 26

The first author was supported by MINECO MTM2015-69135-P "Geometría y Topología de Variedades, Álgebra y Aplicaciones" and by Generalitat de Catalunya SGR2014-634. The second and third authors are members of G.N.S.A.G.A.–I.N.d.A.M. This research was partially supported and by MIUR (Italy) through PRIN 2010-11 "Geometria delle varietà algebriche" and PRIN 2012-13 "Moduli, strutture geometriche e loro applicazioni".

7. Line bundles on the Clifford-Severi lines 35
8. Examples 39
References 44

1. Introduction

Let X be a normal complex projective variety, let $T \subseteq X$ be a subvariety and let $a\colon X \to A$ be a morphism to an abelian variety. Assume that $a_{|T}$ is *strongly generating*, i.e. that the induced homomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(T)$ is injective. Notice that this condition implies in particular that $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ is also injective; so we identify $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ with its image in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ and for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ we denote $a^*\alpha$ simply by α.

For any integer $d \geq 1$ consider the connected variety $X^{(d)}$ defined by the following cartesian diagram, where μ_d is multiplication by d on A:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{(d)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mu_d}} & X \\ {\scriptstyle a_d}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ A & \xrightarrow{\mu_d} & A \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

and set $T^{(d)} := \widetilde{\mu}_d^*(T)$; note that $T^{(d)}$ is connected, since $a_{|T}$ is strongly generating. Given $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, we set $L^{(d)} = \widetilde{\mu}_d^*(L)$ and we study the behavior of the linear system $|L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|_{|T^{(d)}}$ for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ general.

In the case $X = T$ the covering construction (1.1) is a key ingredient in the proof of the Severi inequality for surfaces of maximal Albanese dimension [34], where it is used to obtain numerical inequalities by taking the limit for $d \to \infty$ (actually, we have recently learned from G. Pareschi that a similar approach had already been used in [22], Def. 17.11 and Thm. 17.12). In [2] this idea has been combined with the study of the system $|L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|$ for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ general to obtain the Clifford-Severi inequalities, generalizing the classical Severi inequality to arbitrary dimension and arbitrary nef line bundles. In [3] the same approach is used to classify the surfaces of general type and maximal Albanese dimension satisfying the Severi equality.

In this paper we carry this study much further.

We establish a more general and natural setting for our results. First of all, as pointed out above, we consider more generally the restriction of the linear system to a subvariety T: this seems to be the natural approach, since many results are proven by induction on the dimension, restricting to hyperplane sections. Consistently with the birational nature of the statements we prove, we are also able to remove the assumption, made in previous work, that the line bundle L be nef.

The results of this paper can be roughly divided in two groups: theoretical constructions and applications to the geography of irregular varieties.

On the theoretical side, if $|L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|_{|T^{(d)}}$ gives a generically finite map for α general and d sufficiently large and divisible, we prove that the map given by $|L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|_{|T^{(d)}}$ eventually stabilizes (Theorem 3.7). Namely, there exists a generically finite map $\varphi\colon T \to Z$ such that: (1) $a_{|T}$ is composed with φ, (2) for d large and divisible enough and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ general the map given by $|L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|_{|T^{(d)}}$ is obtained from φ by base change with the d-th multiplication map. In particular, when $X = T$ and $L = K_X$ the map φ is an intrinsic invariant of varieties of maximal Albanese dimension (cf. [4] for a detailed analysis of this situation).

The second theoretical result concerns the *continuous rank* $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L)$, which is the generic value of $h^0(X_{|T}, L \otimes \alpha)$ when α varies in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$. This invariant was introduced for the first time in [2] in the case $X = T$ and coincides with h^0 or χ when L is positive enough, by (generic) vanishing results. It can be regarded as a measure of the positivity of a line bundle: indeed, if X has maximal a-dimension and $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) > 0$ then $L_{|T}$ is big)

Given a line bundle $M = a^*H$ for some very ample H on A, we extend the function $x \mapsto h^0_a(X_{|T}, L + xM)$, defined for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, to a real function of the real variable x, and we prove that this function is continuous and differentiable except possibly at countably many points. The continuous rank function has interesting analogies with the real extension of the volume function: in particular, we provide a formula for the left side derivatives of the rank function, in terms of rank functions in dimension $\dim X - 1$, similar to the one given for the volume function in [8, 28]. In addition, the extended continuous rank function is a key tool in the proof of the numerical inequalities described below.

The second set of results consists of new numerical inequalities involving the continuous rank and the volume in the case when both X and T are smooth, and of a characterization of the cases where equality holds. In particular for any line bundle L on X we obtain:

– *Continuous Castelnuovo type inequality for surfaces* (Theorem 5.5): when $\dim X = 2$ we give lower bounds for $h^0_a(X, kL)$ in terms of $h^0_a(X, L)$, analogous to the classical Castelnuovo inequality (see [31, sec. 2]). While the classical bound depends linearly on k, our bound is quadratic.

– *Clifford-Severi inequalities* (Theorems 6.6, 6.8 and 6.9): we give lower bounds for the restricted volume $\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(L)$ in terms of $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L)$. We use the continuous rank functions to give a sleek proof of the main result of [2] (cf. also [36] for the case $L = K_X$), at the same time extending it to the relative setting and removing the assumption that L be nef. In addition, we prove stronger inequalities when the map $a_{|T}$ is birational onto its image and when it is not composed with an involution of T. Note that inequality (i) of Theorem 6.9

had previously been proved in [30] for $X = T$ a surface of general type and $L = K_X$.

For $L = K_X$ the continuous Castelnuovo inequalities and the Clifford-Severi inequalities are used to derive constraints on the numerical invariants of n-folds of general type and maximal Albanese dimension. In Corollary 6.11 we recover the generalized Severi inequality ([2],[36])

$$K_X^n \geq 2\, n!\, \chi(\omega_X),$$

where X is a minimal $\mathbf{Q}$-Gorenstein n-fold of maximal Albanese dimension and we improve it to

$$K_X^n \geq \frac{9}{4}\, n!\, \chi(\omega_X),$$

if the Albanese map of X is not composed with an involution, and to

$$K_X^n \geq \frac{5}{2}\, n!\, \chi(\omega_X),$$

if the Albanese map of X is birational onto its image.

Finally we characterize the pairs (X, L) for which the Clifford-Severi inequalities are actually equalities (Theorems 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3). A complete classification in the case $\dim X = 2$ and $L = K_X$ had been obtained previously in [3] and [30].

In the last section we work out several examples and pose some questions.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Martí Lahoz, Yongnam Lee, Yusuf Mustopa, Gianluca Pacienza, Giuseppe Pareschi, Gian Pietro Pirola and Angelo Vistoli for useful mathematical discussions. Special thanks go to Zhi Jiang, for detecting a mistake in an earlier version of this paper. The second and third named author would like to thank the Departament de Matemàtiques of the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya for the invitation and the warm hospitality in November 2015 and September 2016.

2. Set-up and preliminaries

2.1. **Notation and conventions.** We work over the complex numbers; varieties (and subvarieties) are assumed to be irreducible and projective.

In this paper the focus is on birational geometry, so a *map* is a rational map and we denote all maps by solid arrows.
Given maps $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon X \to Z$, we say that g *is composed with* f if there exists a map $h\colon Y \to Z$ such that $g = h \circ f$. Given a map $f\colon X \to Y$ and an involution σ of X, we say that f *is composed with the involution* σ if $f \circ \sigma = f$.
We say that two dominant maps $f\colon X \to Z$, $f'\colon X \to Z'$ are *birationally equivalent* if there exists a birational isomorphism $h\colon Z \to Z'$ such that $f' = h \circ f$.

A map $f\colon X \to Y$ is said to be *generically finite* if $\dim f(X) = \dim X$, i.e., we do not require that f be dominant.
If $a\colon X \to A$ is a generically finite morphism to an abelian variety, we say that X *has maximal a-dimension*. If $a\colon X \to A$ is a morphism to an abelian variety such that the induced homomorphism $a^*\colon \mathrm{Pic}^0(A) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is injective, we say that a is *strongly generating*.

Numerical equivalence is denoted by $\equiv$; given line bundles $L, L' \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ we write $L \leq L'$ if $L' - L$ is pseudoeffective.

Let X be a variety, $T \subseteq X$ a subvariety, and $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$; we denote by $\mathrm{vol}_X(L)$ the volume of L on X and by $\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(L)$ the restricted volume of L on T (cf. [17] for the definition of the restricted volume).

Given a variety X and a subvariety $T \subseteq X$, we denote by $H^0(X_{|T}, L)$ the image of the restriction map $H^0(X, L) \to H^0(T, L_{|T})$, by $h^0(X_{|T}, L)$ its dimension and by $|L|_{|T}$ the corresponding subspace of $|L_{|T}|$.

If d is a non-negative integer, we write "$d \gg 0$" instead of "d large and divisible enough".

2.2. **Covering trick.** Let X be a variety of dimension n and let $a\colon X \to A$ be a morphism to an abelian variety of dimension q.

In this subsection we assume in addition that the map a is *strongly generating*. Note that in particular $a(X)$ generates the abelian variety A.

We introduce the following notations and geometric constructions that will be used throughout all the paper:

- H is a fixed very ample divisor on A and we set $M = a^*H$.
- If $d > 0$ is an integer, we denote by $\mu_d\colon A \to A$ the d-th multiplication map; the following cartesian diagram defines $X^{(d)}$ and the maps a_d and $\widetilde{\mu}_d$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{(d)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mu}_d} & X \\ {\scriptstyle a_d}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ A & \xrightarrow{\mu_d} & A \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

  The variety $X^{(d)}$ is irreducible since a is strongly generating. In addition, the map a_d is again strongly generating, and is generically finite if a is. This is proved in [3] for smooth surfaces, but the proof works without modifications for varieties of arbitrary dimension.
- If $T \subseteq X$ is a subvariety such that $a_{|T}$ is strongly generating, then we denote the preimage of T in $X^{(d)}$ by $T^{(d)}$. Note that $T^{(d)}$ is again irreducible.
- For $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ we write $L^{(d)} := \widetilde{\mu}_d{}^* L$; we also set $M_d = a_d^* H$. Notice that by [7, Prop 2.3.5] we have that $H \equiv \frac{1}{d^2}\mu_d^* H$ and so $M_d \equiv \frac{1}{d^2} M^{(d)}$.

- Given $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$, we denote again by α its pull back to X, T, $X^{(d)}$, etc.... For instance, we write $H^0(X^{(d)}, L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha)$ instead of $H^0(X^{(d)}, L^{(d)} \otimes a_d^*\alpha)$. Observe also that using this convention we have $L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha^d = (L \otimes \alpha)^{(d)}$.

2.3. **Continuous rank.** Let X be a normal variety of dimension n, let $a\colon X \to A$ be a morphism to an abelian variety of dimension q and let L be a line bundle on X. As in [2, Def. 2.1], we define the *continuous rank of L (with respect to a)* as the integer

$$h^0_a(X, L) := \min\{h^0(X, L \otimes \alpha) \mid \alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)\}.$$

Remark 2.1. When X is smooth and $L = K_X + D$ is the adjoint of a nef divisor D, we have $h^0_a(X, L) = \chi(L)$ by generic vanishing (cf. [35, Theorem B]). If in addition D is big, then by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, $h^0_a(X, L) = \chi(L) = h^0(X, L)$. In general, by [9] we have that $h^0_a(X, L) \geq h^0(X, L) - h^1(X, L)$.

Given a subvariety $T \subseteq X$, there exists a non-empty open set of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ where $h^0(X_{|T}, L \otimes \alpha)$ is constant. We define the *restricted continuous rank* $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L)$ to be this generic value.

Note that if T is a proper subvariety of X, then $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L)$ needs not be the minimum value of $h^0(X_{|T}, L \otimes \alpha)$.

Remark 2.2. Observe that the restricted continuous rank only depends on the class of L in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)/\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$.

Remark 2.3. Since we assume that X is normal, the continuous rank is invariant under birational morphisms $\widetilde{X} \to X$. Without the normality assumption on X, the continuous rank may increase passing from X to $\widetilde{X}$.

Remark 2.4. By Remark 2.3, if we blow up X along T and normalize, both $h^0_a(X, L)$ and $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L)$ stay the same. So we may reduce to the case where T is a Cartier divisor and, in particular, we have that $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) = h^0_a(X, L) - h^0_a(X, L-T)$ is the difference of two (non-restricted) continuous rank functions.

The fundamental property of the continuous rank is the following (notation as in §2.2):

Proposition 2.5 (Multiplicativity of the continuous rank)**.** *In the above set-up, assume that $a_{|T}$ is strongly generating. Then for every $d > 0$ one has:*

$$h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|T^{(d)}}, L^{(d)}) = d^{2q} h^0_a(X_{|T}, L).$$

Proof. When $T = X$, the claim is [2, Prop. 2.8]. The general case follows from this in view of Remark 2.4. □

Remark 2.6. Let $a\colon X \to A$ be a morphism and let $T \subseteq X$ be a subvariety such that the natural maps $a^*\colon \mathrm{Pic}^0(A) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ and $a^*_{|T}\colon \mathrm{Pic}^0(A) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(T)$ have the same kernel (for instance, this holds if the restriction map $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(T)$ is injective). Denote by A' the abelian variety dual to $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)/\ker(a^*)$: the map a factorizes as $X \xrightarrow{a'} A' \xrightarrow{f} A$, where $a'_{|T}$ is a strongly generating and f is a morphism of abelian varieties. Since one has $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) = h^0_{a'}(X_{|T}, L)$, when proving statements about the continuous rank one can assume that $a_{|T}$ is strongly generating and use the machinery of §2.2.

2.4. **Continuous resolution of the base locus.** Here we recall, and slightly refine, the results of [2, § 3].

Let X be a normal variety of dimension n, let $a\colon X \to A$ be a morphism to an abelian variety of dimension q and let L be a line bundle on X with $h^0_a(X, L) > 0$. We denote by $U_{\mathrm{rk}} \subseteq \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ the open set consisting of the α's such that $h^0(X, L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha)$ is equal to the minimum $h^0_a(X, L)$. The *continuous evaluation map* of L is defined as

$$ev\colon \oplus_{\alpha \in U_{\mathrm{rk}}} H^0(X, L \otimes \alpha) \otimes \alpha^{-1} \to L \tag{2.2}$$

and we denote by S the subscheme of X such that the image of ev is equal to $\mathcal{I}_S L$.

Let $\sigma\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ be a smooth modification of X such that $\sigma^*\mathcal{I}_S = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-D)$ for some effective divisor D. We call D the *continuous fixed part* and $P := \sigma^*L(-D)$ the *continuous moving part* of L.

Assume that a is strongly generating; then there exists a positive integer d such that, denoting by $g_d\colon \widetilde{X}^{(d)} \to \widetilde{X}$ the connected étale cover induced by $\mu_d\colon A \to A$ (see diagram (2.3) below),

(2.3)


we have

- for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$, the system $|P^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|$ is free;
- for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ general, $D^{(d)}$ and $|P^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|$ are the fixed and moving part, respectively, of $|\lambda^*L \otimes \alpha|$.

Remark 2.7. Consider now a subvariety $T \subseteq X$ such that T is not contained in $\mathrm{Sing}\, X$ and $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) > 0$. It is possible to choose the modification $\sigma\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ in such a way that T is not contained in the exceptional locus of σ^{-1} and therefore the strict transform $\widetilde{T} \subseteq \widetilde{X}$ is defined.

2.5. **Galois group of maps.** We give here some general results that we use later.

Given a generically finite dominant map $f\colon X \to Y$ of irreducible varieties we denote by $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ the group of birational automorphisms ϕ of X such that $f \circ \phi = f$, namely $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ is the Galois group of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(Y) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(X)$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $f\colon X \to Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a generically finite dominant morphism of varieties of dimension ≥ 2; let K be a hyperplane section of Y, let $\Sigma = f^{-1}K$ and let $h\colon \Sigma \to K$ be the restricted map.*

If K is general then the restriction homomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(f) \to \mathrm{Gal}(h)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Possibly after removing a proper closed subset of Y and its preimage in X, we may assume that $f\colon X \to Y$ is a finite étale morphism of smooth varieties. By [19, Theorem 1.1 (A)], if K is general Σ is irreducible, hence connected.

In this situation $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ coincides with the group $\mathrm{Gal}_{top}(f)$ of deck transformations of the topological cover f and the same is true for h. Choose base points $x_0 \in \Sigma$ and $y_0 = f(x_0) \in K$ and denote by N_1 (resp., N_2) the normalizer of $f_*\pi_1(X, x_0)$ (resp., $h_*\pi_1(\Sigma, x_0)$) in $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$ (resp., $\pi_1(K, y_0)$). Then the group $\mathrm{Gal}_{top}(f)$ (resp., $\mathrm{Gal}_{top}(h)$) can be identified with $N_1/(f_*\pi_1(X, x_0))$ (resp., $N_2/(h_*\pi_1(\Sigma, x_0))$). In the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\Sigma, x_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X, x_0) \\ {\scriptstyle h_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} \\ \pi_1(K, y_0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Y, y_0) \end{array}$$

the horizontal arrows are surjective by Theorem 1.1 (B) of [19]. This shows that every element of $\mathrm{Gal}_{top}(h)$ extends to an element of $\mathrm{Gal}_{top}(f)$, namely the map $\mathrm{Gal}(f) \to \mathrm{Gal}(h)$ is surjective. Since $\mathrm{Gal}(f) \to \mathrm{Gal}(h)$ is clearly injective, this completes the proof. □

The next result is a straightforward generalization of [30, Lem. 3.3], but we include the proof for the reader's convenience (notation as in §2.2).

Lemma 2.9. *Let X be smooth of general type and let $a\colon X \to A$ be a morphism to an abelian variety such that a is strongly generating and X has maximal a-dimension.*

Then there exists a constant C such that for every prime $p > C$ and $d = p^k$, $k > 0$, one has:

$$\mathrm{Gal}(a) = \mathrm{Gal}(a_d).$$

Proof. Let $n := \dim X$. By the main result of Hacon, McKernan and Xu in [23], there exists a constant M such that for every n-dimensional variety Y of

general type the order of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{bir}(Y)$ of birational automorphisms of Y is $\leq M \operatorname{vol}_Y(K_Y)$.

Take $C = M \operatorname{vol}_X(K_X)$, let $p > C$ be a prime and $d = p^k$ a power of p. The Galois group $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_d^{2q}$ of $\widetilde{\mu}_d$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_{bir}(X^{(d)})$, and it is a p-Sylow subgroup, because

$$|\mathrm{Aut}_{bir}(X^{(d)})| \leq M \operatorname{vol}_{X^{(d)}}(K_{X^{(d)}}) = Md^{2q} \operatorname{vol}_X(K_X) = d^{2q}C < d^{2q}p.$$

In addition, since the number of p-Sylow subgroups is a divisor of $\frac{|\mathrm{Aut}_{bir}(X^{(d)})|}{d^{2q}} < p$ and is congruent to 1 modulo p, it follows that G is the only p-Sylow subgroup, namely G is a normal subgroup.

So every birational automorphism of $X^{(d)}$, and thus in particular every element of $\mathrm{Gal}(a_d)$, descends to an automorphism of X. So we have a homomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(a_d) \to \mathrm{Gal}(a)$ that is the inverse of the natural inclusion $\mathrm{Gal}(a) \to \mathrm{Gal}(a_d)$. □

2.6. **Factorization of morphisms.** In this subsection varieties are irreducible but not necessarily projective. The results here are certainly well known but, lacking a suitable reference, we spell them out here for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a finite morphism of varieties with Y normal. If $g\colon X \to Z$ is a morphism such that $g(f^{-1}(y))$ is a point for general $y \in Y$, then $g(f^{-1}(y))$ is a point for all $y \in Y$.*

Proof. Up to replacing $f\colon X \to Y$ with its Galois closure, we may assume that X is normal and f is Galois with Galois group Γ. In particular, the fibers of f are Γ-orbits. Now the claim follows since for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the set $\{x \mid g(\gamma x) = g(x)\}$ is closed by definition and contains a nonempty open set by assumption, and therefore it is equal to X. □

Lemma 2.11. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon X \to Z$ be proper morphisms of varieties. If Y is normal, f is surjective and $g(f^{-1}(y))$ is a point for all $y \in Y$, then g descends to a morphism $\bar{g}\colon Y \to Z$.*

Proof. Denote by $T \subseteq Y \times Z$ the image of the product morphism $f \times g$, which is a closed subset. The first projection $Y \times Z \to Y$ restricts to a proper bijective morphism $\pi\colon T \to Y$. Since Y is normal, π is an isomorphism and $\bar{g}$ is obtained by composing π^{-1} with the morphism $T \to Z$ induced by the second projection. □

Corollary 2.12. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon X \to Z$ be proper morphisms of varieties. If f is surjective and $g(f^{-1}(y))$ is a point for $y \in Y$ general, then g descends to a rational map $\bar{g}\colon Y \to Z$.*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 2.11 by replacing Y by a smooth open subset Y_0 such that $g(f^{-1}(y))$ for all $y \in Y_0$ and X by $X_0 = f^{-1}(Y_0)$. □

3. The eventual map and the eventual degree

Throughout all the section we fix:

- a normal variety X of dimension n and a subvariety $T \subseteq X$ of dimension $m > 0$ such that T is not contained in $\operatorname{Sing} X$;
- a morphism $a\colon X \to A$ to an abelian variety of dimension q such that $a_{|T}$ is strongly generating;
- a line bundle L on X with $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) > 0$.

We use freely the notation introduced in §2.

3.1. **Eventual degree of a line bundle.**

Definition 3.1. We say that a certain property holds *generically* for L (with respect to a) iff it holds for $L \otimes \alpha$ for general $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)$; similarly, we say that a property holds *eventually* for L (with respect to a) iff it holds for $L^{(d)}$ for $d \gg 0$.

For instance, we say that $|L|_{|T}$ is *eventually generically birational* if for $d \gg 0$ the system $|L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|_{|T}$ is birational for general $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)$.

Using the above terminology, we formulate a partial analogue of [2, Thm. 3.6] that we use repeatedly:

Proposition 3.2. *In the above setup, if T has maximal $a_{|T}$-dimension, then $|L|_{|T}$ eventually gives a generically finite map. In particular, $L_{|T}$ is big.*

Proof. By Remark 2.7, we may argue as in the proof of [2, Thm. 3.6] and reduce to the case when X is smooth and, up to taking base change with a suitable multiplication map, $|L \otimes \alpha|$ is a free system for every $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)$. To prove the claim, we show that under these assumptions if F is a connected component of a general fiber of the map $\varphi\colon T \to Z$ induced by $|L|_{|T}$, then $a(F)$ is a point.

Indeed, the line bundle $L_{|F}$ is trivial, since $|L|$ is base point free, hence $(L \otimes \alpha)_{|F}$ and $\alpha_{|F}$ are linearly equivalent for every $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)$. Since $|L \otimes \alpha|$ is also free, it follows that $(L \otimes \alpha)_{|F} = \alpha_{|F}$ is trivial for every $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)$, namely the map $\operatorname{Pic}^0(A) \to \operatorname{Pic}^0(F)$ is trivial. So it follows that a is constant on F. □

For any given integer $d > 0$, we denote by $\varphi^{[d]}\colon T^{(d)} \to Z^{[d]}$ the dominant map induced by $|L^{(d)}|_{|T^{(d)}}$.

We start with a very useful technical result:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $d > 0$ be a fixed integer and denote by $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_d^{2q}$ the Galois group of μ_d. Then:*

(i) *G acts faithfully on $Z^{[d]}$;*
(ii) *if $|L \otimes \eta|_{|T}$ is base point free for every $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)[d]$, then G acts freely on $Z^{[d]}$.*

Proof. (i) Since the group G acts on $T^{(d)} \subseteq X^{(d)}$ and since the line bundle $L^{(d)}$, being a pull-back from X, has a natural G-linearization, there is an induced G-action on $Z^{[d]}$.

Let $x \in T$ be a point that is not in the base locus of $|L \otimes \eta|$ for any $\eta \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)[d]$. We are going to show that the points of $W := \widetilde{\mu_d}^{-1}(x)$ (which form a G-orbit of cardinality d^{2q}) are separated by $|L^{(d)}|_{|T^{(d)}}$, i.e., the natural restriction map $r\colon H^0(X^{(d)}, L^{(d)}) \to H^0(W, L^{(d)}{}_{|W})$ is surjective. The map r is G-equivariant; the G-eigenspaces of $H^0(X^{(d)}, L^{(d)})$ are the subspaces $V_\eta := \widetilde{\mu_d}^* H^0(X, L \otimes \eta)$ for η in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)[d]$, while $H^0(W, L^{(d)}{}_{|W})$ is isomorphic to the regular representation of G. It follows that r is surjective iff for each $\eta \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)[d]$ its restriction to the eigenspace V_η is non-zero. By the choice of x, for every $\eta \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)[d]$ we can find $\sigma_\eta \in H^0(X, L \otimes \eta)$ with $\sigma_\eta(x) \neq 0$; then $\tau_\eta := \widetilde{\mu_d}^*(\sigma_\eta) \in V_\eta$ does not vanish at any point of W, hence $r(\tau_\eta) \neq 0$ and r is surjective, as claimed.

(ii) In this case the argument given in (i) implies that $|L^{(d)}|_{|T^{(d)}}$ is free and that all the G-orbits on $Z^{[d]}$ have cardinality d^{2q}, and therefore G acts freely. □

Let $d > 0$ be an integer. If for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ general the system $|L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|_{|T^{(d)}}$ gives a generically finite map, then we denote by $m_{L|T}(d)$ the degree of this map; we set $m_{L|T}(d) = +\infty$ otherwise. When $T = X$ we drop T from the notation and simply write m_L.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that d_1 is an integer with $m_{L|T}(d_1) < +\infty$. If d_2 is a multiple of d_1, then $m_{L|T}(d_2)$ divides $m_{L|T}(d_1)$.*

Proof. By replacing (T, X, L) by $(T^{(d_1)}, X^{(d_1)}, L^{(d_1)})$, we reduce to the case where $m_{L|T}(1) < +\infty$ and we show that $m_{L|T}(d)$ divides $m_{L|T}(1)$ for every d.

Now fix d; up to replacing L by $L \otimes \alpha$ for a general choice of $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$, we may assume that the map φ given by $|L|_{|T}$ and the map $\varphi^{[d]}$ given by $|L^{(d)}|_{|T^{(d)}}$ are generically finite of degree $m_{L|T}(1)$, $m_{L|T}(d)$, respectively; as before, we denote by Z, $Z^{[d]}$ the image of φ, $\varphi^{[d]}$ respectively. By the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^{(d)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mu_d}} & T \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi^{[d]}}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} \\ Z^{[d]} & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

we have $d^{2q} m_{L|T}(1) = m_{L|T}(d) \deg h$. By Lemma 3.3, the Galois group G of μ_d injects into the Galois group of h. It follows that d^{2q} divides $\deg h$, and therefore $m_{L|T}(d)$ divides $m_{L|T}(1)$. □

Definition 3.5. We define the *eventual degree of L (with respect to a and T)* as:

$$m_{L|T} := \min\{m_{L|T}(d) \,|\, d \in \mathbb{N}^*\}.$$

Note that if T is of maximal $a_{|T}$ -dimension, then one has $m_{L|T} < +\infty$ by Proposition 3.2.

Remark 3.6. If $m_{L|T} < +\infty$, then by Lemma 3.4:

- eventually we have $m_{L|T}(d) = m_{L|T}$;
- $m_{L|T}$ is the greatest common divisor of all the $m_{L|T}(d)$.

3.2. **The factorization theorem.** The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 3.7 (Factorization theorem)**.** *Let X be a normal n-dimensional projective variety and let $a\colon X \to A$ be a morphism to a q-dimensional abelian variety; let $T \subseteq X$ be a subvariety of dimension m not contained in* $\operatorname{Sing} X$ *and such that $a_{|T}$ is strongly generating.*

If L is a line bundle on X such that $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) > 0$ then there exists a generically finite map $\varphi\colon T \to Z$ of degree $m_{L|T}$, uniquely determined up to birational equivalence, such that:

(a) the map $a_{|T}\colon T \to A$ is composed with φ;

(b) for $d \geq 1$ denote by $\varphi^{(d)}\colon T^{(d)} \to Z^{(d)}$ the map obtained from $\varphi\colon T \to Z$ by taking base change with μ_d; then the map given by $|L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|_{|T^{(d)}}$ is composed with $\varphi^{(d)}$ for $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)$ general.

In particular, for $d \gg 0$ the map $\varphi^{(d)}$ is birationally equivalent to the map given by $|L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|_{|T^{(d)}}$ for $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)$ general.

Definition 3.8. We call the map $\varphi\colon T \to Z$ introduced in Theorem 3.7 the *eventual map* given by L on T. Note that eventual degree $m_{L|T}$ of L is actually the degree of the eventual map.

In view of Proposition 3.2, Theorem 3.7 has the following immediate consequence, which will be crucial for the arguments in the second part of the paper.

Corollary 3.9. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.7 we have*

(i) *if $a_{|T}$ is generically injective, then the linear system $|L|_{|T}$ is eventually generically birational;*

(ii) *if $a_{|T}$ is not composed with an involution, then $m_{L|T} \neq 2$.*

In order to prove Theorem 3.7, we need to introduce some more notation and prove a preliminary result. Let $d > 0$ be an integer; then:

– $U^{(d)}_{\mathrm{rk}} \subseteq \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)$ denotes the nonempty open set consisting of the α's such that $h^0(X^{(d)}, L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha) = h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}, L^{(d)})$ and $h^0({X^{(d)}}_{|T^{(d)}}, L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha) = h^0_{a_d}({X^{(d)}}_{|T^{(d)}}, L^{(d)})$;

– if $m_{L|T}(d) < +\infty$, then $U^{(d)}_{\mathrm{deg}}$ denotes the nonempty open subset of $U^{(d)}_{\mathrm{rk}}$ consisting of the α's such that the map given by $|L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|_{|T}$ is generically finite of degree equal to $m_{L|T}(d)$.

We write $U_{\mathrm{rk}} = U^{(1)}_{\mathrm{rk}}$ and $U_{\mathrm{deg}} = U^{(1)}_{\mathrm{deg}}$.

Proposition 3.10. *Assume that $|L \otimes \alpha|$ is base point free for every $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ and that $m_{L|T}(1) = m_{L|T} < +\infty$; then there exist a variety Z and a surjective generically finite morphism $\varphi\colon T \to Z$ of degree $m_{L|T}$ such that:*

(a) the dominat map $\varphi_\alpha\colon T \to Z_\alpha$ induced by $|L \otimes \alpha|_{|T}$ is birationally equivalent to φ for every $\alpha \in U_{\mathrm{deg}}$;
(b) the map $a_{|T}\colon T \to A$ is composed with φ.

Proof. (a) Note first that by Lemma 3.4, the condition $m_{L|T}(1) = m_{L|T}$ implies $m_{L|T}(d) = m_{L|T}$ for every $d > 0$. Up to twisting L by a very general element of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$, we may assume that $0 \in U^{(d)}_{\mathrm{deg}}$ for every $d \geq 1$; we denote by $\varphi\colon T \to Z$ the surjective generically finite morphism of degree $m_{L|T}$ given by $|L|_{|T}$. We pick $x \in T$ general and consider the following continuous evaluation map on X:

$$ev\colon \oplus_{\alpha \in U_{\mathrm{rk}}} H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_x L \otimes \alpha) \otimes \alpha^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_x L \tag{3.2}$$

The image of ev is equal to $\mathcal{I}_B L$, where B is a subscheme of X such that $\{x\} \subseteq B_{|T} \subseteq \varphi^*(\varphi(x))$; since x is general, $\varphi^*(\varphi(x)) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$ is reduced of cardinality $m_{L|T}$, hence $B_{|T}$ is also reduced and has cardinality $\nu \leq m_{L|T}$. We wish to prove that $\nu = m_{L|T}$ and therefore that $B_{|T} = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$.

Arguing as in the proof of [2, Lem. 3.2] one can prove that $\mathcal{I}_B L$ is continuously globally generated with respect to a. So by [13, Prop. 3.1] there exists d such that $\widetilde{\mu}_d{}^*(\mathcal{I}_B L) \otimes \alpha$ is generated by global sections for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$. Write $B^{(d)} := \widetilde{\mu}_d{}^* B$, so that $\widetilde{\mu}_d{}^*(\mathcal{I}_B L) = \mathcal{I}_{B^{(d)}} L^{(d)}$.

Let $\varphi^{[d]}\colon T^{(d)} \to Z^{[d]}$ be the surjective morphism induced by $|L^{(d)}|_{|T^{(d)}}$. By Lemma 3.3 the map h in diagram (3.1) is an étale G-cover, where $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_d^{2q}$ is the Galois group of $\widetilde{\mu}_d$. The 0-dimensional scheme ${B^{(d)}}_{|T^{(d)}} = \widetilde{\mu}_d{}^*(B_{|T})$ is reduced of cardinality νd^{2q}, since $B_{|T}$ is reduced of cardinality ν and $\widetilde{\mu}_d$ is étale. On the other hand, ${B^{(d)}}_{|T^{(d)}}$ is the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{B^{(d)}} L^{(d)}|_{|T^{(d)}} \subseteq |L^{(d)}|_{|T^{(d)}}$, since $\mathcal{I}_{B^{(d)}} L^{(d)} = \widetilde{\mu}_d{}^*(\mathcal{I}_B L)$ is generated by global sections. Since $L^{(d)}$ is also generated by global sections, it follows that ${B^{(d)}}_{|T^{(d)}}$ is a union of fibers of the map $\varphi^{[d]}$. Since in addition ${B^{(d)}}_{|T^{(d)}}$ is G-stable, it contains the set

$$(h \circ \varphi^{[d]})^{-1}(\varphi(x)) = \widetilde{\mu}_d^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))),$$

which has cardinality $d^{2q} m_{L|T}$. Since $\nu \leq m_{L|T}$, we conclude that $\nu = m_{L|T}$, ${B^{(d)}}_{|T^{(d)}} = \widetilde{\mu}_d^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)))$ and $B_{|T} = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$. Summing up, we have proven that for general $x \in T$ the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$ is mapped to a point by φ_α

for every $\alpha \in U_{\mathrm{rk}}$. This proves that φ_α is composed with φ for every $\alpha \in U_{\mathrm{rk}}$ (cf. Corollary 2.12). In particular, for $\alpha \in U_{\deg}$ the map φ_α is birationally equivalent to φ.

(b) Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the pull-back to $X \times U_{\mathrm{rk}}$ of the Poincaré line bundle on $A \times \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ and set $\mathcal{V} := \mathrm{pr}_{2*}(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_1^* L)$, where pr_i is the i-th projection, $i = 1, 2$. Let $\nu_T \colon T^\nu \to T$ and $\nu_Z \colon Z^\nu \to Z$ be the normalization maps, and let $\varphi^\nu \colon T^\nu \to Z^\nu$ be the morphism induced by φ. Let $T^\nu \to \bar{T} \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} Z^\nu$ be the Stein factorization of ϕ^ν: since $|L \otimes \alpha|$ is free for every $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ the map φ_α descends to $\bar{T}$ for every α (cf. Lemma 2.11). Now the natural morphism $X \times U_{\mathrm{rk}} \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ induces a morphism $T^\nu \times U_{\mathrm{rk}} \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ that, again by Lemma 2.11, descends to a morphism $\Phi \colon \bar{T} \times U_{\mathrm{rk}} \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$; we denote by $\mathcal{Z}$ the image of Φ. Consider the map $F \colon \bar{T} \times U_{\mathrm{rk}} \to Z^\nu \times U_{\mathrm{rk}}$ defined by $F(x, \alpha) = (\bar{\varphi}(x), \alpha)$. We have shown in the proof of (a) that for $x \in \bar{T}$ general the morphism Φ contracts $F^{-1}(F(x, \alpha))$ to a point for all $\alpha \in U_{\mathrm{rk}}$. Since F is a finite morphism and $Z^\nu \times U_{\mathrm{rk}}$ is a normal variety, Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.11 imply that Φ descends to a morphism $j \colon Z^\nu \times U_{\mathrm{rk}} \to \mathcal{Z}$. Comparing degrees we see that j is birational.

Let $N \in \mathrm{Pic}(Z)$ be such that $L = \varphi^* N$ and let $\epsilon \colon \widehat{Z} \to Z^\nu$ be a desingularization. Pulling back $j^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})}(1) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_1^*(\nu_Z{}^* N^{-1})$ to $\widehat{Z} \times U_{\mathrm{rk}}$ we obtain a line bundle that defines a morphism $U_{\mathrm{rk}} \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(\widehat{Z})$, which in turn extends to a homomorphism $\psi \colon \mathrm{Pic}^0(A) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(\widehat{Z})$. We define the map $g \colon Z \to A$ as the composition of $(\epsilon \circ \nu_Z)^{-1}$ with the Albanese map $\widehat{Z} \to \mathrm{Alb}(\widehat{Z})$ followed by ${}^t\psi \colon \mathrm{Alb}(\widehat{Z}) \to A$. We claim that $a_{|T}$ and $g \circ \varphi$ differ by a translation in A. Without loss of generality, we may replace T by a smooth variety T' birational to it such that the induced map $\varphi' \colon T' \to \widehat{Z}$ is a morphism.

By the universal property of the Albanese map, the strongly generating map $a' \colon T' \to A$ induced by $a_{|T}$ is determined, up to translation in A, by $a'^* \colon \mathrm{Pic}^0(A) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(T')$, and it is clear from the construction of g that $(g \circ \varphi')^* = a'^*$. □

Proof of Thm. 3.7. As in §2.4, up to blowing up X we can consider the decomposition $L = P + D$ of L as the sum of its continuous moving and fixed parts. By §2.4, taking $d \gg 0$ we have that for α general $|L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha| = |P^{(d)} \otimes \alpha| + D^{(d)}$ and $|P^{(d)} \otimes \alpha|$ is base point free for all α. In addition, by Lemma 3.4 we may assume that $m_{L|T}(d) = m_{L|T}$.

Up to twisting L by a very general element of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$, we may assume that $0 \in U_{\deg}^{(d)}$ for every integer $d \geq 1$. By Proposition 3.10, we may choose $d_0 \gg 0$ such that:

- $|P^{(d_0)}|_{|T}$ induces a surjective morphism $\varphi^{[d_0]} \colon T^{(d_0)} \to Z^{[d_0]}$ of degree $m_{L|T}$, birationally equivalent to the morphism given by $|P^{(d_0)} \otimes \alpha|_{|T}$ for every $\alpha \in U_{\deg}^{(d_0)}$;

– $a_{d_0|T^{(d_0)}} = g \circ \varphi^{[d_0]}$ for some map $g\colon Z^{[d_0]} \to A$.

Let G be the Galois group of μ_{d_0} and $\widetilde{\mu_{d_0}}$; the natural linearization of $P^{(d_0)} = \widetilde{\mu}_{d_0}^*(P)$ descends to a G-action on the image $Z^{[d_0]}$ of $\varphi^{[d_0]}$ and therefore $\varphi^{[d_0]}$ induces a morphism $\varphi\colon T \to Z := Z^{[d_0]}/G$. So we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^{(d_0)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mu_{d_0}}} & T \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi^{[d_0]}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} \\ Z^{[d_0]} & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{\mu_d} & A \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

By Lemma 3.3 (applied to $P^{(d_0)}$), the group G acts freely on $Z^{[d_0]}$, and so $\deg \varphi = \deg \varphi^{[d_0]} = m_{L|T}$. Using the commutativity of the above diagram, the fact that $H^0(X, L\otimes\alpha)$ is the G-invariant subspace of $H^0(X^{(d_0)}, L^{(d_0)}\otimes\alpha^{d_0})$ and the fact that for general $\beta \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ the system $|L^{(d_0)} \otimes \beta|_{|T^{(d_0)}}$ is composed with $\varphi^{[d_0]}$, it is easy to check that $|L \otimes \alpha|_{|T}$ is composed with φ for general $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$. Therefore, by continuity, $|L\otimes\alpha|_{|T}$ is composed with φ for $\alpha \in U_{\mathrm{rk}}$.

So far we have proven the existence of $\varphi\colon T \to Z$. Next we observe:

(1) if $\varphi^{(d_0)}\colon T^{(d_0)} \to Z^{(d_0)}$ is the morphism obtained from $\varphi\colon T \to Z$ by taking base change with μ_{d_0}, then by the commutativity of the lower square in diagram (3.3), there is an induced G-equivariant map $Z^{[d_0]} \to Z^{(d_0)}$. Since the maps $Z^{[d_0]} \to Z$ and $Z^{(d_0)} \to Z$ are both étale Galois covers with group G, it follows that $Z^{[d_0]} \to Z^{(d_0)}$ is an isomorphism;

(2) if $d' = kd_0$ is an integer divisible by d_0 and we denote by $\varphi^{[d']}\colon T^{(d')} \to Z^{[d']}$ the map given by $|L^{(d')}|$ then, arguing as in (1) and using the isomorphism $Z^{[d_0]} \to Z^{(d_0)}$, we see that there is an isomorphism $Z^{[d']} \to Z^{(d')}$.

By the choice of L and by Proposition 3.10, the above remarks suffice to prove that Z satisfies property (b) of the statement for $d \gg 0$. The uniqueness of $\varphi\colon T \to Z$ up to birational equivalence follows in a similar way: if $\psi\colon T \to W$ is another map of degree $m_{L|T}$ satisfying properties (a) and (b) of the statement, then for d sufficiently large and divisible there is a birational isomorphism $W^{(d)} \to Z^{(d)}$ which is compatible with the action of the Galois group of $\widetilde{\mu}_d$, and therefore descends to a birational isomorphism $W \to Z$.

Finally, take any integer k and set $d = kd_0$. Denote by G the Galois group of μ_d and by H the Galois group of μ_{d_0} and denote by $\psi\colon T^{(k)} \to Y := Z^{[d]}/H$

the map induced by $\varphi^{[d]}$. Then arguing as above one shows that the map given by $|L^{(k)} \otimes \alpha|$ is composed with ψ for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ general.

These remarks complete the proof. □

4. The continuous rank function

We use freely the notation introduced in §2. Throughout all the section we fix:

- a normal variety X of dimension n and a line bundle L on X;
- a morphism $a\colon X \to A$ to an abelian variety of dimension $q > 0$;
- an ample divisor H on A; as usual, we write $M := a^*H$, and $M_d = a_d^*H$;
- a subvariety $T \subseteq X$ such that $a_{|T}$ is strongly generating (the case $T = X$ is our main interest).

Given a line bundle L on X we define L_x as the $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $L + xM$ on X.

4.1. **Continuous continuous rank.** We are going to extend the definition of the restricted continuous rank $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L_x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ to a function $\phi_T(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ which is continuous and non decreasing. In a sense, we construct a *continuous* continuous rank. When $X = T$ this function is convex and we are able to compute its left derivative explicitly.

Definition 4.1 (Extension to $\mathbb{Q}$)**.** Let $T \subseteq X$ be subvariety such that $a_{|T}$ is strongly generating; given $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, choose $d \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that $x = \frac{e}{d^2}$ with $e \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define:

$$h^0_a(X_{|T}, L_x) := \frac{1}{d^{2q}} h^0_{a_d}({X^{(d)}}_{|T^{(d)}}, L^{(d)} + eM_d). \tag{4.1}$$

Note that the definition does not depend on the choice of d by Proposition 2.5.

The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a normal variety of dimension n, $a\colon X \to A$ a morphism to an abelian variety of dimension $q > 0$ and let L be a line bundle on X. Then:*

(i) *if $T \subseteq X$ is subvariety such that $a_{|T}$ is strongly generating, then the function $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L_x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, extends to a continuous non decreasing function $\phi_T\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which has one-sided derivatives at every point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and is differentiable except at most at countably many points;*

(ii) *the function $\phi := \phi_X$ is convex and:*

$$D^-\phi(x) = \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{d^{2q-2}} h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}_{|M_d}, (L_x)^{(d)}), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.3. If $a_{|T}$ is not strongly generating but it is not constant and the maps $a^*\colon \mathrm{Pic}^0(A) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ and $a^*_{|T}\colon \mathrm{Pic}^0(A) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(T)$ have the same

kernel, then (cf. Remark 2.6) there is a morphism $a'\colon X \to A'$, with A' a positive dimensional abelian variety, such that $a'_{|T}$ is strongly generating and $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) = h^0_{a'}(X_{|T}, L)$. So Theorem 4.2 also holds in this more general situation.

The extended rank function is very hard to compute in general, even in dimension 1 (see Question 8.10). Below we calculate ϕ in some cases, that show different behaviours.

Example 4.4 (Double covers of abelian varieties)**.** Fix an abelian variety A of dimension n and a very ample line bundle H on A with $h^0(A, H) = s$ and let $a\colon X \to A$ be a double cover branched on a general $D \in |2H|$, with $a_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_A \oplus H^{-1}$. We take $L = 0$ and $M = a^*H$. Using the projection formula it is easy to see that

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ sx^n, & 0 < x \leq 1 \\ s(x^n + (x-1)^n), & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

So in this case ϕ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{n-1}$ but not of class $\mathcal{C}^n$.

Example 4.5 (Curves of genus 2)**.** Let C be a genus 2 curve, let $a\colon C \to A := J(C)$ be the Abel-Jacobi map and let $\Theta := a(C)$ be the principal polarization. We compute ϕ for $L = 0$ and $M = a^*\Theta$.

Fix $0 < x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and write $x = \frac{e}{d^2}$ for some integers e, d. The curve $C^{(d)}$ embeds in A and its image is a divisor linearly equivalent to $d^2\Theta + \beta$ for some $\beta \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$. Twisting the restriction sequence for $C^{(d)}$ in A by $e\Theta + \alpha$, where $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ is general, we get:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_A((e-d^2)\Theta + \alpha - \beta) \to \mathcal{O}_A(e\Theta + \alpha) \to \mathcal{O}_{C^{(d)}}(e\Theta + \alpha) \to 0.$$

Using the fact that α is general and Kodaira vanishing, we get:

$$h^0_{a_d}(C^{(d)}, e\Theta) = h^0(A, e\Theta + \alpha) - h^0(A, (e-d^2)\Theta + \alpha - \beta).$$

Hence, we have:

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ x^2, & 0 < x \leq 1 \\ 2x - 1, & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

So in this case ϕ is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$; note that ϕ is equal to the restricted continuous rank function for $X = A$, $T = a(C)$, and $M = \Theta$.

Example 4.6 (Divisors in abelian varieties)**.** Let A be an abelian variety of dimension q and let $Y \subseteq A$ be an ample irreducible divisor.

Let $H = \mathcal{O}_A(Y)$ and set $s := h^0(A, H)$. If we take $L = 0$, the same computations as in Example 4.5 give:

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ sx^q, & 0 < x \leq 1 \\ s(x^q - (x-1)^q), & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

So $\phi := \phi_Y$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{q-1}$ in this case; as in the previous example ϕ coincides with the restricted continuous rank function for $X = A$, $T = Y$ and $M = H$.

In the previous examples the continuous rank functions have better regularity properties than stated in Theorem 4.2 and the restricted continuous rank functions are convex. The following example, kindly pointed out to us by Zhi Jiang, shows that this is not always the case. We are going to investigate elsewhere under what conditions the continuous rank function has additional regularity properties.

Example 4.7 (Non-simple abelian varieties)**.** Let A_1 be an abelian variety of dimension $q-1 > 0$, let C be an elliptic curve and set $X = A = A_1 \times C$. Let L be the pull-back of an ample divisor on A_1 and let M be a very ample divisor on A.

We denote by $\phi(x)$ the continuous rank function $h^0_a(X, L + xM)$. We have:

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{q!}(L + xM)^q = \frac{1}{q!}\sum_{i=1}^{q} \binom{q}{i} x^i M^i L^{q-i} & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that ϕ is continuous but not differentiable for $x = 0$.

Assume now that $q = 2$, denote by L_1, resp. L_2 the pullback of a point of A_1 resp. C, and set $L = L_1$, $M = L_1 + L_2$ and take T to be a general element of $|M|$. Then one easily computes

$$\phi_T(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}(L + xM)^2 = x + x^2 & 0 < x \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(L + xM)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(L + (x-1)M)^2 = 2x & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

So the function $\phi_T(x)$ is not convex in this case.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 4.2. We start with a simple calculus result.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and let $f\colon I \cap \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-decreasing function satisfying the "midpoint property", namely such that for every $t, t' \in \mathbb{Q}$ one has*

$$\frac{f(t) + f(t')}{2} \geq f\left(\frac{t + t'}{2}\right).$$

Then f extends to a continuous non-decreasing convex function $g\colon I \to \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For $x \in I$ we define $g(x) = \sup\{f(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap I, t \leq x\}$. Clearly g extends f and is non decreasing. Observe that for every x we have $f(x) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} f(t_n)$ for some sequence of rational numbers $t_n \to x$. It follows that also g has the midpoint property, hence it is enough to prove that g is continuous, since a continuous function with the midpoint property is convex.

Since g is non-decreasing, for every $x \in I$ there exist $g(x)^- := \lim_{t\to x^-} g(t)$ and $g(x)^+ := \lim_{t\to x^+} g(t)$ and g is continuous at x iff $g(x)^- = g(x)^+$. So assume by contradiction that $g(x)^- < g(x)^+$ and set $\epsilon = g(x)^+ - g(x)^-$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough we have $g(x + \frac{3}{n}) < g(x)^+ + \epsilon$. Then we have

$$g(x - \frac{1}{n}) + g(x + \frac{3}{n}) < g(x)^- + g(x)^+ + \epsilon = 2g(x)^+ \leq 2g(x + \frac{1}{n}),$$

contradicting the midpoint property. □

Lemma 4.9. *In the assumptions of Theorem 4.2 the following hold:*

(i) *The function $h^0_a(X, L_x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, extends to a continuous non decreasing convex function $\phi\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.*
(ii) *The function $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L_x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, extends to a continuous non decreasing function $\phi_T\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, which is the difference of two continuous convex functions.*

Proof. First note that the functions $h^0_a(X, L_x)$ and $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L_x)$ are clearly non decreasing for $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

(i) By Lemma 4.8 it is enough to show that $x \mapsto h^0_a(X, L_x)$ has the midpoint property for $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. So let $x_1 < x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$: using a suitable multiplication map we can reduce to the case where x_1, x_2 and $\frac{x_2-x_1}{2}$ are integers. Let $L' = L_{x_2} \otimes \alpha$ for α general in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$, and take $R_1, R_2 \in |tM|$ general members. Obviously both $H^0(X, L' - R_1)$ and $H^0(X, L' - R_2)$ are subspaces of $H^0(X, L')$, and $H^0(X, L' - R_1) \cap H^0(X, L' - R_2) = H^0(X, L' - R_1 - R_2)$ since $|M|$ has no fixed part. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h^0_a(X, L_{x_2}) = h^0(X, L') \geq \dim\left(H^0(X, L' - R_1) + H^0(X, L' - R_2)\right) = \\ = h^0(X, L' - R_1) + h^0(X, L' - R_2) - h^0(X, L' - R_1 - R_2) = \\ = 2h^0_a\left(X, L_{\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}}\right) - h^0_a(X, L_{x_1}), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired inequality.

(ii) Follows from (i) by Remark 2.4. □

Lemma 4.10. *For all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ one has:*

$$h^0_a(X, L_x) - h^0_a(X, L_{(x-\frac{1}{d^2})}) = \frac{1}{d^{2q}} h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|M_d}, (L_x)^{(d)}).$$

Proof. All the functions involved are continuous by Lemma 4.9, so it is enough to prove the statement for $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

So, pick $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $(td)^2 x =: e$ is an integer. Since $(M_d)^{(t)} = t^2 M_{dt}$, we have the following exact sequence on $X^{(dt)}$:

$$0 \to H^0(X^{(dt)}, L^{(dt)} + (e-t^2)M_{dt}) \to H^0(X^{(dt)}, L^{(dt)} + eM_{dt}) \to \\ \to H^0(X^{(dt)}_{|(M_d)^{(t)}}, L^{(dt)} + eM_{dt}) \to 0 \tag{4.3}$$

Since, possibly after twisting by a very general element $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$, we may assume that the h^0's in (4.3) are actually h^0_a's, the claim follows by the multiplicative property of the continuous rank functions (Proposition 2.5). □

Proof of Theorem 4.2. (i) Follows from Lemma 4.9 and from the properties of convex functions.
(ii) Since the left derivative $D^-\phi$ exists at every point by (i), we can compute its value at a point x as the limit of the increment ratio over the sequence $\{x - \frac{1}{d^2}\}_{d\in\mathbb{N}}$, so formula (4.2) is a consequence of Lemma 4.10. □

4.2. **Volume and restricted volume.** In this section we recall some known results on the volume, interpreting them in our set-up.

We keep the assumptions made at the beginning of §4 and we assume in addition:

- X is smooth;
- X has maximal a-dimension; we denote by $\Sigma \subset X$ the exceptional locus of a.

Under these assumptions we give the following:

Definition 4.11. Let $T \subseteq X$ be an irreducible subvariety. We say that T is *a-general* if it is not contained in Σ and the map $a_{|T}$ is strongly generating. (Note that an a-general subvariety $T \subseteq X$ has automatically maximal $a_{|T}$-dimension).

Let D be a big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X: we denote by $\mathbf{B}_+(D)$ the augmented base locus of D, as defined in [27, 10.3]. Recall (ibid.) that $\mathbf{B}_+(D)$ depends only on the numerical class of D and that for $0 < \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ one has $\mathbf{B}_+(\lambda D) = \mathbf{B}_+(D)$.

For $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ integral, denote by CB(L) the support of the subscheme $S \subseteq X$, where $I_S L$ is the image of the continuous evaluation map (2.2); in other words, CB(L) is the set of points of X that belong to the base locus of $|L \otimes \alpha|$ for general $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$. Then we have:

Lemma 4.12. *Let $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ such that $h^0_a(X, L) > 0$; then $\mathbf{B}_+(L)$ is contained in $\Sigma \cup \mathrm{CB(L)}$.*

Proof. Note that that L is big by Proposition 3.2. By Theorem C of [17], $\mathbf{B}_+(L)$ is the union of all the positive dimensional subvarieties $V \subseteq X$ such that $\mathrm{vol}_{X|V}(L) = 0$. Let V be such a subvariety and assume by contradiction that V is not contained in $\Sigma \cup \mathrm{CB}(L)$. Then $V \to A$ is generically finite

onto its image and $h^0_a(X_{|V}, L) > 0$. By continuity (cf. Theorem 4.2), we have $h^0_a(X_{|V}, L - \epsilon M) > 0$ for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. So, up to replacing L by a suitable multiple, we may assume $h^0_a(X_{|V}, L - M) > 0$. Since $\mathbf{B}_+(L)$ depends only on the numerical class of L, up to twisting by $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ we may assume that $h^0_a(X_{|V}, L - M) = h^0_a(X_{|V}, L - M) > 0$. But then $0 = \mathrm{vol}_{X|V}(L) \geq \mathrm{vol}_{X|V}(M) > 0$, a contradiction. □

Proposition 4.13. *Let $T \subseteq X$ be an a-general subvariety such that $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L_x) \not\equiv 0$. Set $x_0 := \max\{x \mid \mathrm{vol}_X(L_x) = 0\}$ and $\bar{x} := \max\{x \mid h^0_a(X_{|T}, L_x) = 0\}$. Then:*

(i) *the function $\mathrm{vol}_X(L_x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, extends to a continuous function $\psi\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, which is differentiable for $x \neq x_0$ and*

$$\psi'(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < x_0 \\ n \,\mathrm{vol}_{X|M}(L_x) & x > x_0 \end{cases}$$

(ii) *the function $\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(L_x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, extends to a continuous function $\psi_T\colon (\bar{x}, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, depending only on the numerical class of L.*

Proof. (i) The existence of ψ follows from the continuity of the volume function on $N^1(X)$ [26, Thm. 2.2.44]. The function ψ is nondecreasing, hence it is identically 0 for $x < x_0$; differentiability for $x > x_0$ and the formula for ψ' are a consequence of Thm. A and Cor. C of [8] (cf. also [28, Cor. C]).

(ii) By Lemma 4.12, the subvariety T is not contained in $\mathbf{B}_+(L_x)$ for $x \in (\bar{x}, +\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, so the claim follows from [17, Thm. A]. □

We will often use the following remark:

Lemma 4.14. *In the hypotheses and notation of Proposition 4.13, one has:*

$$\mathrm{vol}_{X^{(d)}|T^{(d)}}((L_x)^{(d)}) = d^{2q} \,\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(L_x), \quad \forall x > \bar{x},\ \forall d \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$$

Proof. Let N be a line bundle on X such that $h^0_a(X_{|T}, N) > 0$. By Lemma 4.12 we have $T \not\subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(N)$ and $\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(N)$ depends only on the numerical equivalence class of N by [17, Thm. A]. Up to twisting by a very general $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ we may assume $h^0(X_{|T}, kN) = h^0_a(X_{|T}, kN)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore $\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(N) = m! \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{h^0_a(X_{|T}, kN)}{k^m}$, where $m := \dim T$. It follows that

$$\mathrm{vol}_{X^{(d)}|T^{(d)}}(N) = d^{2q} \,\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(N) \tag{4.4}$$

by the multiplicativity of the continuous rank (Proposition 2.5).

Fix now a rational number $x > \bar{x}$ and pick $t \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that tx is an integer. Then (4.4) gives

$$d^{2q} \,\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(L_x) = \frac{d^{2q}}{t^m} \,\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(t(L_x)) = \frac{1}{t^m} \,\mathrm{vol}_{X^{(d)}|T^{(d)}}(t(L_x)^{(d)}) = \mathrm{vol}_{X^{(d)}|T^{(d)}}((L_x)^{(d)}).$$

The claim now extends to all $x \in (\bar{x}, +\infty)$ by continuity. □

4.3. **Extension of the eventual degree.** We keep the assumptions made at the beginning of §4 .

Let $\bar{x} := \max\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid h^0_a(X_{|T}, L + xM) = 0\}$. We can extend the definition of eventual degree (cf. §3.1) to line bundles of the form L_x, for $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (\bar{x}, +\infty)$, as we have done for the continuous rank.

Take $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that d^2x is an integer and define $m_{L_x|T} := m_{L_x^{(d)}|T^{(d)}}$. It is immediate to see that $m_{L_x|T}$ does not depend on the choice of d; we extend the definition to $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by setting $m_{L_x|T} = \inf\{m_{L_t|T} \mid t \in \mathbb{Q},\ t \leq x\}$. The function $x \mapsto m_{L_x|T}$ is non increasing and takes only finitely many values (by Theorem 3.7 the possible values are the positive divisors of $\deg a_{|T}$).

Note that even for $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ there is in general no eventual map associated to L_x. Indeed, if $x = \frac{e}{d^2}$, then the map $a_{d|T^{(d)}}$ has a factorization by a map of degree $m_{L_x|T}$, but this factorization needs not descend to T. However, it is possible to say something more in the case when $m_{L_x|T} = 2$.

Proposition 4.15. *Let $\widetilde{T} \to T$ be a desingularization and let $\bar{x}$ be defined as above. If $\widetilde{T}$ is of general type and there exist $x_1 < x_2 \in (\bar{x}, +\infty)$ such that $m_{L_{x_1}|T} = m_{L_{x_2}|T} = 2$, then $a_{|T}$ is composed with an involution.*

Proof. Let C be the constant given by Lemma 2.9 for varieties of dimension $m = \dim T$, and let $p > C$ be a prime. For k large enough we may find a rational number $x = \frac{e}{p^k}$ such that $x_1 < x < x_2$. In view of the assumptions and of the properties of the extended eventual degree, we have $m_{L_x|T} = 2$. So, setting $d = p^k$, by Theorem 3.7 the map $a_{d|T^{(d)}} \colon T^{(d)} \to A$ is composed with an involution σ_d. In turn, by the choice of p and by Lemma 2.9, the involution σ_d induces an involution σ of T such that $a_{|T}$ is composed with σ. □

5. Castelnuovo inequalities

5.1. **Numerical degree of subcanonicity.** In the case of curves, by Clifford's Theorem the ratio of the degree of a line bundle to the number of its global sections is controlled by the ratio of its degree to the degree of the canonical sheaf. In [2] the concept of degree of subcanonicity was introduced in order to formulate and prove the Clifford-Severi inequalities, that hold in arbitrary dimension and can be regarded as a vast generalization of Clifford's Theorem. Here we slightly modify this definition in order to simplify the proofs, as follows.

Definition 5.1. Let X be a smooth variety of dimension $n \geq 2$, with a map $a \colon X \to A$ to an abelian variety such that X is of maximal a-dimension. Let $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ be a big line bundle.

(i) Fix H very ample on A and let $M = a^*H$. We define the *numerical degree of subcanonicity* (with respect to M)

$$r(L, M) := \frac{LM^{n-1}}{K_X M^{n-1}} \in (0, \infty].$$

(ii) We say that L is *numerically r-subcanonical (with respect to M)* if $r(L, M) \leq r$. For simplicity, we say that L is numerically subcanonical if it is numerically 1-subcanonical.

If $n = 1$ we define, consistently, $r(L) = \frac{\deg L}{\deg K_X}$.

Remark 5.2. The numerical degree of subcanonicity $r(L, M)$ of a big line bundle L has the following properties:

- If $rK_X - L$ is pseudoeffective (i.e., if L is r-subcanonical in the sense of [2]), then L is numerically r-subcanonical.
- $r(L, M) = \infty$ if and only if $K_X M^{n-1} = 0$ and hence if and only if $\operatorname{kod}(X) = 0$. Indeed, the Kodaira dimension is non negative since X is of maximal Albanese dimension. If $\operatorname{kod}(X) \geq 1$ then for some s the variety X is covered by divisors $Q \in |sK_X|$, that are contracted by a since H is very ample and $QM^{n-1} = 0$, contradicting the assumption that X has maximal a-dimension.
- For any $d \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ we have $r(L, M) = r(L^{(d)}, M^{(d)}) = r(L^{(d)}, M_d)$. In particular, if L is numerically r-subcanonical with respect to M, then so is $L^{(d)}$ with respect to $M^{(d)}$ and M_d.
- If $L' \leq L$, then $r(L', M) \leq r(L, M)$ and so if L is numerically r-subcanonical then so is L'.
- If L is numerically r-subcanonical with respect to M, and $M \in |M|$ is a general divisor, then $L_{|M}$ is numerically r-subcanonical with respect to $M_{|M}$ (note that $L_{|M}$ is still big).

5.2. **Continuous Castelnuovo inequalities.** Let us first recall the classical results, which are due mainly to Castelnuovo: see [1, Chap.III, Sec. 2]. Let C be a smooth curve and consider a subspace $W \subseteq H^0(C, L)$ of dimension $r + 1 \geq 2$ such that the moving part of $|W|$ is a base point free g^r_d (hence $\deg L \geq d$). Consider the multiplication map

$$\rho_k \colon \operatorname{Sym}^k W \longrightarrow H^0(C, kL).$$

Let $s := \left[\frac{d-1}{r-1}\right]$. If $|W|$ induces a birational morphism, then we have the following estimate on the rank of ρ_k [1, Lem. on p. 115]:

$$\operatorname{rk} \rho_k - 1 \geq \sum_{l=1}^{s} (l(r-1)+1) + \sum_{l=s+1}^{k} d. \tag{5.1}$$

This implies the following inequalities:

Lemma 5.3. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus g and $|W|$ a linear system whose moving part is a base point free g^r_d on C. If $k \geq 2$ is an integer, then*

(i) $\operatorname{rk} \rho_k \geq kr + 1$;

(ii) *If $|W|$ induces a birational morphism and $d \leq 2g - 2$, then*

$$\operatorname{rk} \rho_k \geq (2k-1)r.$$

Proof. In the birational case both inequalities follow immediately from equation (5.1) observing that $d \geq r$ and that for $d \leq 2g-2$ one has $d \geq 2r$, and therefore $s \geq 2$.

For (i), let us suppose that the linear series $|W|$ is not birational. Then the morphism it induces factors through a finite map of degree ≥ 2. Let Γ be the normalization of the image and $j\colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{P}^r$ the induced birational morphism. Then for any $k \geq 2$ the image of ρ_k coincides with the image of the k-th multiplication map for the subspace of $H^0(\Gamma, j^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1))$ given by W, so the result follows from the birational case. □

We now extend Castelnuovo's result to the continuous setting in dimension 1 and 2; these results are used in Section 6. In a forthcoming paper we will study extensions to arbitrary dimension.

Proposition 5.4. *Let X be a smooth variety of dimension $n \geq 1$ with a map $a\colon X \to A$ to an abelian variety of dimension q and let $C \subseteq X$ be a smooth curve such that $a_{|C}$ is strongly generating. Let $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

(i) $h^0_a(X_{|C}, kL) \geq k\, h^0_a(X_{|C}, L)$.

(ii) *If $L_{|C}$ is numerically subcanonical and $\deg a_{|C} = 1$, then $h^0_a(X_{|C}, kL) \geq (2k-1)\, h^0_a(X_{|C}, L)$.*

Proof. (i) Since the inequality is obviously satisfied if $h^0_a(X_{|C}, L) = 0$, we may assume that $h^0_a(X_{|C}, L) > 0$. For $d \geq 2$, set $W_d = H^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}_{|C^{(d)}}, L^{(d)})$. Observe that the image of the k-th multiplication map

$$\rho_{k,d}\colon \operatorname{Sym}^k W_d \longrightarrow H^0_{a_d}(C^{(d)}, (kL)^{(d)}{}_{|C^{(d)}})$$

is contained in $H^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|C^{(d)}}, kL^{(d)})$. Then Lemma 5.3 (i) applied to W_d, and the multiplicativity of the restricted continuous rank give

$$d^{2q} h^0_a(X_{|C}, kL) = h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|C^{(d)}}, (kL)^{(d)}) \geq \operatorname{rk} \rho_{k,d} \geq$$
$$\geq k h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|C^{(d)}}, L^{(d)}) - (k-1) = d^{2q} k h^0_a(X_{|C}, L) - (k-1),$$

and so by letting d go to infinity we obtain

$$h^0_a(X_{|C}, kL) \geq k h^0_a(X_{|C}, L),$$

as wanted.

(ii) The second inequality can be proven in a similar way. Again we may assume $h^0_a(X_{|C}, L) > 0$, the claim being trivially true otherwise.

Observe that, if $\deg a_{|C} = 1$, then by Theorem 3.7 we have that for $d \gg 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ general the map induced by the system $|L \otimes \alpha|_{|C}$ on C is generically injective. So by Lemma 5.3 (ii) we have the inequality

$$h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|C^{(d)}}, (kL)^{(d)}) \geq \mathrm{rk}\, \rho_{k,d} \geq (2k-1)\, h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|C^{(d)}}, L^{(d)}) - (2k-1)$$

and we just take the limit for $d \to \infty$ as in the proof of (i). □

Now we can deduce the Continuous Castelnuovo inequalities for surfaces:

Theorem 5.5. *Let S be a smooth surface with map $a\colon X \to A$ to an abelian variety such that S is of maximal a-dimension. Let $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

(i) $h^0_a(S, kL) \geq k^2\, h^0_a(S, L)$.
(ii) *If $\deg a = 1$ and L is numerically subcanonical for some $M = a^*H$, then $h^0_a(S, kL) \geq (2k-1)k\, h^0_a(S, L)$.*

Proof. By Remark 2.6, it is enough to consider the case when a is strongly generating.

(i) Take a very ample line bundle H on A and let $M = a^*H$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ set, as usual, $L_x = L + xM$. Consider the functions

$$\phi(x) := h^0_a(S, L_x) \ \text{ and } \phi_k(x) := h^0_a(S, (kL)_x).$$

Take $x = \frac{e}{d^2} \in \mathbb{Q}$. By Proposition 5.4 (i) applied to $(S^{(d)}, M_d, (L_x)^{(d)})$, we have that

$$h^0_{a_d}(S^{(d)}{}_{|M_d}, (kL_x)^{(d)}) \geq k h^0_{a_d}(S^{(d)}{}_{|M_d}, (L_x)^{(d)}). \tag{5.2}$$

Since both sides of (5.2) are continuous functions of x, it follows that (5.2) holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore by Theorem 4.2 we have

$$D^-\phi_k(kx) \geq kD^-\phi(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Now just compute

$$h^0_a(S, kL) = \phi_k(0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 D^-\phi_k(t)dt = k\int_{-\infty}^0 D^-\phi_k(ky)dy \geq$$
$$\geq k^2 \int_{-\infty}^0 D^-\phi(y)dy = k^2\phi(0) = k^2 h^0_a(S, L).$$

(ii) Observe that for a general curve M_d the map $a_{d|M_d}$ is strongly generating and of degree 1. Hence we can apply inequality (ii) of Proposition 5.4 in the argument above. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let S be a smooth minimal surface, with Albanese map of degree 1. Then*

$$K_S^2 \geq 5\, \chi(\omega_S).$$

Proof. Let us apply Theorem 5.5 (ii) to S and $L = K_S$ and to the Albanese map $a\colon S \to A$. We get

$$\chi(\omega_S^{\otimes 2}) = h^0_a(\omega_S^{\otimes 2}) \geq 6h^0_a(\omega_S) = 6\chi(\omega_S),$$

where the last equality follows by the Generic Vanishing Theorem.

□

6. Clifford-Severi inequalities

Let X be a smooth projective variety with a map $a\colon X \to A$ to an abelian variety that is of maximal a-dimension. The Main Theorem of [2] (Clifford-Severi inequality) is sharp for a subcanonical nef line bundle L on X. Here we extend that result in several ways. First we drop the nefness assumption, working with volumes rather than with intersection numbers, and we extend the result to the relative setting, considering the restricted volume and the restricted continuous rank (Theorem 6.8). In addition, we strengthen the inequality under extra assumptions on the geometry of the map a (Theorem 6.9). The proofs are direct applications of the computations on the derivatives of the restricted continuous rank and volume of Section 4.

In this section, we will consider the following

Hypotheses 6.1. *We assume that X is a smooth variety of dimension $n \geq 1$, $a\colon X \to A$ is a map to an abelian variety such that X has maximal a-dimension, $T \subseteq X$ is a smooth a-general subvariety (Definition 4.11) of dimension $m \geq 1$ and $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is a line bundle such that $T \not\subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(L)$.*

Remark 6.2. Observe that we have the following implications:

$$h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) \neq 0 \Rightarrow T \not\subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(L) \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_+(L) \neq X \,(\text{i.e. } L \text{ is big}),$$

where the first implication derives from Lemma 4.12.

Definition 6.3. In the Hypotheses 6.1, we define the *slope* of (X, T, L) with respect to a as

$$\lambda_T(L) := \frac{\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(L)}{h^0_a(X_{|T}, L)} \in (0, +\infty]$$

In the absolute case, i.e., when $T = X$, we will simply use the notation $\lambda(L)$. Moreover, when $X = T$, $a = \mathrm{alb}_X$ and $L = K_X$ we write $\lambda(X)$.

Remark 6.4. Assume Hypotheses 6.1. The subvariety T is not contained in $\mathbf{B}_+(L)$, and so $\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(L)$ depends only on the numerical equivalence class of L [17, Thm. A]. It follows that $\lambda_T(L)$ is an invariant of the class of L in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)/\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$.

Remark 6.5. Assume Hypotheses 6.1. If $\eta\colon X' \to X$ is a birational morphism with X' smooth such that T is not contained in the exceptional locus of η^{-1} and $T' \subseteq X'$ is the strict transform of T, then we have $\lambda_T(L) = \lambda_{T'}(\eta^* L)$.

Indeed, the restricted continuous rank does not change, since X is smooth, and the restricted volume is also invariant.

In addition, we have $\lambda_T(L) = \lambda_{T^{(d)}}(L^{(d)})$ for every integer $d > 0$ by Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 4.14.

Now we can state the main theorems of this section:

Theorem 6.6 (Clifford-Severi inequalities 1)**.** *In the Hypotheses 6.1 one has:*

$$\lambda_T(L) \geq m_{L|T}\, m!,$$

where $m_{L|T}$ is the eventual degree of L with respect to T (Definition 3.5).

In order to state the next theorem we need the following definition:

Definition 6.7. Let $r \in (0, +\infty)$ be a real number.

$$\delta(r) = \begin{cases} 2, & r \leq 1 \\ \frac{2r}{2r-1}, & r \geq 1 \end{cases} \qquad \overline{\delta}(r) = \begin{cases} 3, & r \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{6r}{4r-1}, & \frac{1}{2} \leq r \leq 1 \\ \frac{2r}{2r-1}, & r \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Moreover we define, consistently, $\delta(\infty) = \overline{\delta}(\infty) = 1$.

The functions $\delta(r)$ and $\overline{\delta}(r)$ are non increasing functions and their graphs are given in the following figure:



Theorem 6.8 (Clifford-Severi inequalities 2)**.** *Let δ and $\overline{\delta}$ be the functions of Definition 6.7. Assume that Hypotheses 6.1 hold and that $L_{|T}$ is numerically r-subcanonical with respect to $M_T = a_{|T}^* H$ for some very ample $H \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ (with $r \in (0, \infty]$). Then*

(i) $\lambda_T(L) \geq \delta(r) m!$.

(ii) *If T is of general type and $a_{|T}$ is not composed with an involution, then*

$$\lambda_T(L) \geq \overline{\delta}(r) m!.$$

Theorem 6.9 (Clifford-Severi inequalities 3)**.** *Assume that Hypotheses 6.1 hold, that* $m = \dim T \geq 2$ *and that* $K_T - L_{|T}$ *is pseudoeffective. Then*

(i) *If* $\deg a_{|T} = 1$*, then* $\lambda_T(L) \geq \frac{5}{2}m!$.

(ii) *If* T *is of general type and* $a_{|T}$ *is not composed with an involution, then*

$$\lambda_T(L) \geq \frac{9}{4}m!.$$

Remark 6.10. In the absolute case ($X = T$), the condition that a be strongly generating is not necessary and it is enough to ask that X be of maximal a-dimension (cf. Remark 2.6).

The inequality in Theorem 6.8 (i) is the generalization of the Main Theorem in [2] for restricted volume and continuous rank. The inequality in Theorem 6.9 (ii) is a generalization of an inequality given by Lu and Zuo for $n = m = 2$ and $L = K_X$ in [30]. The approach of Lu and Zuo is based on the analysis of the (second) relative Noether multiplication map on a fibered surface; their thecnique -similarly to what happens for Xiao's method- is replaced here by the operation of taking the sum of two integrals.

For the case of the canonical line bundle we can even extend this result to the (singular) minimal setting and obtain:

Corollary 6.11. *Let* X *be a complex projective minimal* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Gorenstein variety of dimension* n*, let* $\mathrm{alb}_X \colon X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ *be the Albanese map and let* $\omega_X = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ *be the canonical sheaf. If* X *is of maximal Albanese dimension, then*

(i) $K_X^n \geq 2\, n!\, \chi(\omega_X)$.

(ii) *If* $\deg \mathrm{alb}_X = 1$*, then* $K_X^n \geq \frac{5}{2}\, n!\, \chi(\omega_X)$.

(iii) *If* alb_X *is not composed with an involution, then* $K_X^n \geq \frac{9}{4}\, n!\, \chi(\omega_X)$.

Proof. The content of (i) is just Corollary B of [2]. There it is proven that $K_X^n \geq L^n$ for a suitable subcanonical line bundle on a desingularization $\sigma \colon X' \to X$, such that $h^0_a(X', L) = \chi(\omega_{X'})$, where $a = \mathrm{alb}_X \circ \sigma$. Since the singularities of X are rational and ω_X is the dualizing sheaf of X, we also have that $h^0_a(X', L) = \chi(\omega_{X'}) = \chi(\omega_X)$. Then we can apply Theorem 6.9 to L and obtain (ii) and (iii). □

Theorem 6.6 is a consequence of the properties of the eventual factorization (cf. §3) and of the main result of [2].

Proof of Theorem 6.6. Since the claim is trivial if $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) = 0$, from now on we assume $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) > 0$.

By Remark 6.5 the slope $\lambda_T(L)$ does not change if:

- we replace X by a smooth modification such that T is not contained in the exceptional locus of the inverse map and we replace T by its strict transform;
- we replace (X, T) with $(X^{(d)}, T^{(d)})$ for some $d \gg 0$.

Hence (cf. §2.4) we may assume that:

- $L = P + D$ is the decomposition of L as the sum of the continuous moving and fixed part of L; so $|P \otimes \alpha|$ is base point free for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ and $|P \otimes \alpha|$ is the moving part of $|L \otimes \alpha|$ for α general;
- T is not contained in the support of D;
- $m_{L|T}(1) = m_{L|T}$ (recall that $m_{L|T} < +\infty$ by Proposition 3.2);
- up to twisting by a general element of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$, we may assume that $h^0(X_{|T}, L) = h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) = h^0(X_{|T}, P)$ and that $|L|_{|T}$ induces the eventual map (cf. Def. 3.8) $\varphi\colon T \to Z$, which is a morphism of degree $m_{L|T}$.

Caution: after these reduction steps the pair (X, T) still satisfies Hypotheses 6.1, except for the fact that T may not be smooth. We are going to bypass this problem by working on a desingularization of T.

Observe that T is not contained in $\mathbf{B}_+(P)$ by Lemma 4.12, so by [17, Cor. 2.17] we have

$$\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(P) = P^m T = \mathrm{vol}_T(P_{|T}).$$

Now we replace Z by a smooth model $\widetilde{Z}$ and T by a smooth model $\widetilde{T}$ such that the induced map $\widetilde{T} \to \widetilde{Z}$ is a morphism. We abuse notation and we denote by the same letter line bundles on T, Z and their pullbacks to $\widetilde{T}$, $\widetilde{Z}$. Since T is not contained in the support of D and since the volume of a line bundle is invariant under pull back via a birational morphism [26, Prop.2.2.43], we have

$$\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(L_{|T}) \geq \mathrm{vol}_T(P_{|T}) = \mathrm{vol}_{\widetilde{T}}(P_{|T}) = m_{L|T}\,\mathrm{vol}_{\widetilde{Z}}(N),$$

where N is a line bundle on Z such that $P_{|T} = \varphi^*(N)$ and the last inequality holds by [24, Lemma 3.3.6].

Finally, N pulls back to a nef line bundle on $\widetilde{Z}$, so by the Main Theorem of [2], we have:

$$\mathrm{vol}_{\widetilde{Z}}(N) \geq m! h^0_{\widetilde{a}}(\widetilde{Z}, N)$$

where we denote by $\widetilde{a}\colon \widetilde{Z} \to A$ the induced map. Since $h^0_{\widetilde{a}}(\widetilde{Z}, N) \geq h^0_a(X_{|T}, P) = h^0_a(X_{|T}, L)$, the claimed inequality follows from the ones above. □

Theorems 6.8 and 6.9 are proven by induction, using results on linear systems on curves and surfaces as the first step.

Lemma 6.12. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus $g(C) \geq 2$ and let $a\colon C \to A$ be a strongly generating map to an abelian variety of dimension $q > 0$. Let L be a line bundle of positive degree on C. Write $s := r(L) = \frac{\deg L}{2g(C)-2}$. Then:*

(i) $\lambda(L) \geq \delta(s)$.
(ii) *Assume that $m_L \neq 2$. Then*

$$\lambda(L) \geq \overline{\delta}(s).$$

Proof. The volume of a positive line bundle on a curve is just its degree and so $\lambda(L) = \frac{\deg L}{h^0_a(C,L)}$. The results are trivially true if $h^0_a(C,L) = 0$, so we assume $h^0_a(C,L) \neq 0$.
(i) If $s > 1$, then $\mathrm{vol}_C(L) = \frac{2s}{2s-1} h^0(C,L) = \delta(s) h^0_a(C,L)$ by Riemann-Roch. If $s \leq 1$, then by Clifford's Theorem applied to $L^{(d)}$ on $C^{(d)}$ we have

$$d^{2q}\,\mathrm{vol}_C(L) = \mathrm{vol}_{C^{(d)}}(L^{(d)}) \geq 2h^0(C^{(d)}, L^{(d)}) - 2 \geq$$
$$\geq 2h^0_{a_d}(C^{(d)}, L^{(d)}) - 2 = 2d^{2q} h^0_a(C,L) - 2.$$

Taking the limit for $d \to \infty$ we have the desired inequality.

(ii) If $m_L \geq 3$, then we have $\mathrm{vol}_C(L) \geq 3h^0_a(C,L) \geq \bar{\delta}(s) h^0_a(C,L)$ by Theorem 6.6.

Otherwise, by assumption, we have that $m_L = 1$. Hence, for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ general and $d \gg 0$ the line bundle $L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha$ induces a birational map on $C^{(d)}$ by Theorem 3.7. So, since $\lambda(L) = \lambda(L^{(d)}) = \lambda(L^{(d)} \otimes \alpha)$ (cf. Remarks 6.5 and 6.4), we may assume that $|L|$ induces a birational map on C.

Assume $\frac{1}{2} < s \leq 1$. In this case the divisor $2L$ is non-special and by Riemann-Roch and the continuous version of Castelnuovo's inequality (Proposition 5.4) we have:

$$2\,\mathrm{vol}_C(L) = 2\deg L = h^0_a(C, 2L) + (g(C) - 1) \geq 3h^0_a(C,L) + \frac{\deg L}{2s}$$

and the inequality $\deg L \geq \frac{6s}{4s-1}\, h^0_a(C,L) = \bar{\delta}(s) h^0_a(C,L)$ follows.

If $s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we argue as in the proof of (i), since if $m_L = 1$ the inequality $\deg L \geq 3h^0_a(C,L)$ holds by applying the so-called Clifford+ Theorem [1, III.3. ex.B.7] to $(C^{(d)}, L^{(d)})$, and the results follows by taking the limit for $d \to +\infty$. □

Proof of Theorem 6.8. Since the claim is trivial for $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) = 0$, we assume $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) > 0$. We observe that, as in the proof of Theorem 6.6, we can make a reduction to the absolute case $X = T$. Indeed, by Remark 6.5 we may assume that we have applied a blow-up and a base change by a multiplication map as in §2.4. Hence, the continuous decomposition $L = P + D$ verifies:

- $|L \otimes \alpha| = |P \otimes \alpha| + D$ for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ general;
- $|P \otimes \alpha|$ is base point free for every $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$;
- T is not contained in the support of D.

In this case we have that

$$\mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(L) \geq \mathrm{vol}_{X|T}(P) = P^m T = \mathrm{vol}_T(P_{|T}),$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 4.12 and [17, Cor. 2.17] since $h^0_a(X_{|T}, L) > 0$, T is not contained in Σ and $|P|$ is base point free. Observe

also that by construction we have $h^0_a(X, L) = h^0_a(X, P)$ and, since T is not contained in D, we also have

$$h^0_a(X_{|T}, P) = h^0_a(X_{|T}, L),$$

so it is enough to prove the inequality $\lambda(P_{|T}) \geq \delta(r)m!$ in case (i) and the inequality $\lambda(P_{|T}) \geq \bar{\delta}(r)m!$ in case (ii).

Since we passed to a birational modification of X and replaced T by its strict transform, it is possible that the "new" T is not smooth. We get around this issue by considering a resolution $\widetilde{T} \to T$ and replacing $P_{|T}$ by its pull back to $\widetilde{T}$, which we denote by the same symbol, since:

- we have $\lambda_{\widetilde{T}}(P_{|T}) \leq \lambda_T(P_{|T})$ since if we pull back a line bundle via a birational morphism the volume does not change [26, Prop.2.2.43], while the continuous rank does not decrease (Remark 2.3);
- the numerical degree of subcanonicity on $\widetilde{T}$ of the pull back of $L_{|T}$ is the same as the degree s of subcanonicity of $L_{|T}$ on the "original" T;
- the numerical degree of subcanonicity of the pullback of $P_{|T}$ to $\widetilde{T}$ is $\leq s$.

Now the proof works by induction on $m = \dim T$.

Assume first that $m = 1$. First of all observe that $L_{|T}$ is r-subcanonical if and only if $s := r(L) \leq r$. Since the functions δ and $\bar{\delta}$ are non increasing, it is enough to prove the inequality for s. Furthermore, in case (ii) if $a_{|T}$ is not composed with an involution then the eventual degree of any line bundle is different from 2. As explained above, it is enough to prove the claim in the absolute case $T = X$, where it follows directly from Lemma 6.12 (i) and (ii).

Now let's prove the theorem for $m \geq 2$, assuming the result in dimension $m-1$. Again by the reduction to the absolute case we may assume that $X = T$ (and hence $n = m$). We give the proof of the inductive step in the cases (i) and (ii) of the theorem separately.

Case (i).
Consider the functions

$$\psi(x) := \mathrm{vol}_X(L_x) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x) := h^0_a(X, L_x).$$

Let M be a very general element of the linear system $|M|$ and let M_d be a very general element of the linear system $|M_d|$. By [8, Corollary C] (see also Proposition 4.13) we have that

$$\psi'(x) = n \ \mathrm{vol}_{X|M}(L_x).$$

for any $x > x_0$, where $x_0 = \max\{t \mid \mathrm{vol}_X(L_t) = 0\}$.

By Theorem 4.2 we have

$$D^-\phi(x) = \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{d^{2q-2}} h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|M_d}, (L_x)^{(d)}).$$

We are going to prove that

$$\psi'(x) \geq n!\delta(r)D^-\phi(x).$$

Since ψ' is continuous (Proposition 4.13) and $D^-\phi$ is non decreasing, it is enough to prove the inequality for rational values of x. Let $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ be the maximum of $\{x \mid h^0_a(X, L_x) = 0\}$; then $x_0 \leq \bar{x}$ by Proposition 3.2 and the above inequality is trivially true for $x \leq \bar{x}$ by Lemma 4.13. So fix a rational $x > \bar{x}$. Since both ψ' and $D^-\phi$ are multiplicative with respect to base change by multiplication maps (Lemma 4.14 and Proposition 2.5), we can assume that L_x is integral; in addition, by Remark 6.5 we may assume that we have a decomposition $L_x = P_x + D_x$ as in §2.4, where P_x is the continuous moving part. Then we have the following chain of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(6.1)} \qquad \mathrm{vol}_{X|M}(L_x) \geq \mathrm{vol}_{X|M}(P_x) = P_x^{n-1}M = \frac{1}{d^{2q}}((P_x)^{(d)})^{n-1}M,^{(d)} \\ \frac{1}{d^{2q-2}}((P_x)^{(d)})^{n-1}M_d = \frac{1}{d^{2q-2}}\,\mathrm{vol}_{X_d|M_d}(P_x{}^{(d)}), \end{aligned}$$

where the first and the last equality follow by Lemma 4.12 and [17, Cor. 2.17], since $|P_x|$ is base point free and M and M_d can be taken to be very general.

Observe also that

$$\text{(6.2)} \qquad h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|M_d}, (P_x)^{(d)}) = h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|M_d}, (L_x)^{(d)}).$$

By Remark 5.2, if L is r-subcanonical (with respect to M) so are $(L_x)^{(d)}$, $(L_x)^{(d)}_{|M^{(d)}}$ and, as a consequence, $(P_x)^{(d)}_{|M_d}$, for any d. Hence if (X, L, a) verifies the hypotheses of the theorem, then we can conclude that $(M_d, (P_x)^{(d)}_{|M_d}, a_{d|M_d})$ verifies the same hypotheses. So the inductive assumption gives

$$\text{(6.3)} \qquad \mathrm{vol}_{X_d|M_d}(P_x^{(d)}) \geq (n-1)!\delta(r)h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|M_d}, (P_x)^{(d)}) = (n-1)!\delta(r)h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}{}_{|M_d}, (L_x)^{(d)}).$$

Combining (6.1), (6.2), (6.3) and taking the limit over d, we have

$$\mathrm{vol}_{X|M}(L_x) \geq (n-1)!\delta(r)D^-\phi(x)$$

and so

$$\psi'(x) \geq n!\,\delta(r)\,D^-\phi(x).$$

By Theorem 4.2 the function ϕ is convex, and therefore absolutely continuous, and by Proposition 4.13 the function ψ is piecewise of class C^1. So we may apply the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus for the Lebesgue integral and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{vol}_X(L) = \psi(0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \psi'(x)dx \geq \delta(r)\,n! \int_{-\infty}^0 D^-\phi(x)dx = \delta(r)\,n!\,\phi(0) = \\ = \delta(r)\,n!\,h^0_a(X, L). \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii).

Let us explain what has to be modified in the proof of Case (i) in order to prove the formula in Case (ii). Observe that the general argument works just changing δ to $\overline{\delta}$. However we need to check in addition that whenever we take base change by a multiplication map or restrict to a subvariety the assumption that the variety is of general type and that the corresponding map to A is not composed with an involution still holds. In order to verify the latter condition, let C be the constant associated to the variety of general type T given by Lemma 2.9. Then consider the set of natural numbers $\mathcal{D} = \{d = p^k \,|\, p > C \text{ is prime}\}$. Observe that the set of points $\{x = \frac{c}{d^2} \,|\, c \in \mathbb{Z},\, d \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$. So, it is enough to apply the density argument of Case (i) only to rational numbers of this form. Also, all the limits for $d \in \mathbb{N}$ can be substituted by limits over $d \in \mathcal{D}$ since the limits exist and we are taking a subsequence.

Consequently, if a is not composed with an involution, then neither is a_d for $d \in \mathcal{D}$ by Lemma 2.9. So we can apply Proposition 2.8 and conclude that $a_{d|M_d}$ is not composed with an involution, either.

The property that T be of general type is also maintained in all the inductive process since then $T^{(d)}$ is also of general type and any section is, by adjunction. □

In order to prove Theorem 6.9 we need first to prove the result for surfaces. Note that point (ii) of the theorem is a generalization of [29, Theorem 3.1].

Proposition 6.13. *Let S be a smooth surface with $a\colon S \to A$ a strongly generating map to an abelian variety such that S is of maximal a-dimension. Let L be a line bundle on S and assume that $K_S - L$ is pseudoeffective. Then:*

(i) *If $\deg a = 1$, then $\mathrm{vol}_S(L) \geq 5h^0_a(S, L)$.*
(ii) *If S if of general type and a is not composed with an involution, then $\mathrm{vol}_S(L) \geq \frac{9}{2}h^0_a(S, L)$.*

Proof. If $h^0_a(S, L) = 0$, the result is trivially true. Otherwise, arguing as in the reduction process at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 6.8, we may assume that the linear system $|L|$ is base point free. Since the inequalities we want to prove are invariant under base change by multiplication maps, by Theorem 3.7 we can assume that the map induced by $|L|$ is generically finite of degree m_L. Note that the condition that $K_S - L$ is pseudoeffective is preserved during the reduction process.

Take a general $C \in |L|$ and a general $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(S, L \otimes \alpha) \longrightarrow H^0(S, L + (L \otimes \alpha)) \longrightarrow H^0(C, L + (L \otimes \alpha)_{|C}).$$

Since L is base point free and $K_S - L$ is pseudoeffective, we have that $L^2 \leq LK_S$ and so $\deg(2L_{|C}) \leq 2g(C) - 2$. So we can conclude that

(6.4)
$$L^2 = \frac{1}{2}\deg(2L_{|C}) \geq h^0_a(C, 2L_{|C}) = h^0(C, L + (L \otimes \alpha)_{|C}) \geq h^0_a(S, 2L) - h^0_a(S, L),$$

where the first inequality follows from Lemma 6.12 (i).

(i) Assume that $\deg a = 1$. Then by Theorem 5.5 (ii), with $k = 2$, we have that $h^0_a(S, 2L) \geq 6h^0_a(S, L)$ and the result follows by (6.4).

(ii) Assume now that a is not composed with an involution. Fix a very ample H on A, let $M = a^*H$ and take a smooth curve M in the linear system $|M|$.

Let $\bar{x} \leq 0$ be the infimum of the $x \leq 0$ such that $m_{L_x} = 1$ (take $\bar{x} = 0$ if this condition is empty).

The map a is not composed with an involution. This property is not preserved by a general base change, but the following claim only needs that the original map a be non composed: by Proposition 4.15 we have that $m_{L_x} \neq 2$ except possibly for the single value $\bar{x}$. Hence $m_{L_x} \geq 3$ for all $x < \bar{x}$, and $m_{L_x} = 1$ for $x > \bar{x}$. Observe that, since we may take M_d general in $a^*_d|H|$, we also have $m_{L_x^{(d)}|M_d} = 1$ or ≥ 3 in the cases $x > \bar{x}$ or $x < \bar{x}$, respectively.

Let ϕ and ϕ_2 be the functions defined in the proof of Theorem 5.5. If $d \gg 0$ is such that $(L_x)^{(d)}$ is integral, then the linear systems $|(L_x)^{(d)}|_{|M_d}$ induce birational maps on M_d for $x > \bar{x}$, and so by a direct combination of the proofs of (i) and (ii) of Theorem 5.5, we obtain that

$$h^0_a(S, 2L) = \phi_2(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} D^-\phi_2(t)dt = 2\int_{-\infty}^{0} D^-\phi_2(2x)dx =$$
$$= 2\int_{-\infty}^{\bar{x}} D^-\phi_2(2x)dx + 2\int_{\bar{x}}^{0} D^-\phi_2(2x)dx \geq 2\int_{-\infty}^{\bar{x}} 2D^-\phi(x)dx + 2\int_{\bar{x}}^{0} 3D^-\phi(x)dx =$$
$$= 4h^0_a(S, L_{\bar{x}}) + 6h^0_a(S, L) - 6h^0_a(S, L_{\bar{x}}).$$

Plugging the above inequality in (6.4), we get

$$L^2 \geq 5h^0_a(S, L) - 2h^0_a(S, L_{\bar{x}}). \tag{6.5}$$

Now we will obtain a second inequality among these invariants, as follows. Consider now the volume function

$$\psi(x) = \mathrm{vol}_S(L_x).$$

Recalling the expression of ψ' given in Proposition 4.13, we apply Theorems 6.6 and 6.8 to $(M_d, (L_x)^{(d)}_{|M_d}, a_{d|M_d})$ to find inequalities involving $\psi'(x)$, $D^-\phi(x)$

and $m_{(L_x)^{(d)}|M_d}$ and we obtain that

$$L^2 = \mathrm{vol}_S(L) = \psi(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \psi'(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\bar{x}} \psi'(x)dx + \int_{\bar{x}}^{0} \psi'(x)dx \geq$$

$$\geq 6\int_{-\infty}^{\bar{x}} D^-\phi(x)dx + 4\int_{\bar{x}}^{0} D^-\phi(x)dx = 6h^0_a(X, L_{\bar{x}}) + 4h^0_a(S, L) - 4h^0_a(X, L_{\bar{x}}) =$$

(6.6)

$$= 4h^0_a(S, L) + 2h^0_a(S, L_{\bar{x}}).$$

and so the result follows by adding (6.5) and (6.6). □

Proof of Theorem 6.9. The same argument as in Theorem 6.8 works, using induction on m and taking the results of Proposition 6.13 for $m = 2$, with $\delta = 5$ (resp. $\delta = \frac{9}{2}$) in case (i) (resp. (ii)) as the starting step. □

Remark 6.14. Consider the absolute case in Theorem 6.9 (i). There, the hypothesis $\deg a = 1$ is only used to ensure that L_x has eventual degree 1 (for any rational $x \leq 0$ such that $h^0_a(X, L_x) \neq 0$). From this fact we deduced that the same holds in the induction process for the continuous moving part, and for $L_x^{(d)}$ and its restrictions to M_d. Hence, as a corollary of the proof, we can say that the same inequality as in part (i) of the theorem holds for a subcanonical line bundle L provided that $m_{L_x} = 1$ for all x as above.

7. Line bundles on the Clifford-Severi lines

The proof of the Clifford-Severi inequalities given in the previous section allows us to characterize pairs (X, L) such that the slope $\lambda(L)$ takes its minimum value. We will consider two natural cases; the first one is the absolute minimum value

$$\lambda(L) = n!.$$

(first Clifford-Severi line, cf. Theorem 6.6), and the second case is

$$\lambda(L) = 2n!$$

for a subcanonical line bundle L (second Clifford-Severi line, cf. Theorem 6.8).

In case $n = 2$ and $L = K_X$ the complete classification of surfaces with $\lambda(X) = 4$ was recently obtained by Lu and Zuo in [30] and by the authors in [3].

Theorem 7.1. *Let X be a smooth variety of dimension $n \geq 1$, let $a\colon X \to A$ be a strongly generating map such that X is of maximal a-dimension, and let $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ be a big line bundle. If $\lambda(L) = n!$, then $a\colon X \to A$ is a birational morphism.*

Proof. Take H very ample on A and let $M = a^*H$. By Theorem 6.8 we have that $r(L, M) = \infty$ and so $\operatorname{kod}(X) = 0$ (cf. Remark 5.2). Since X is of maximal Albanese dimension, by a criterion of Kawamata [25] we have that X is birational to an abelian variety. Since $n = \dim X \leq q = \dim A$ and the map a is strongly generating, we have that $q = n$ and a is birational. □

Theorem 7.2. *Let X be a smooth variety of dimension $n \geq 2$, let $a\colon X \to A$ be a strongly generating map such that X is of maximal a-dimension, and let $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ be a big line bundle. If L is numerically subcanonical (with respect to some $M = a^*H$) and $\lambda(L) = 2n!$, then:*

(i) *$q = n$, $\deg a = 2$;*
(ii) *if X is of general type, then $K_X = a^*N + E$ for some ample N in A and some a-exceptional divisor E, $m_{K_X} = 2$ and a is birationally equivalent to the eventual map of K_X;*
(iii) *if $K_X - L + \alpha$ is effective for some $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)$, then $m_L = 2$ and a is also birationally equivalent to the eventual map of L.*

Proof. (i) Let as usual $L_x = L + xM$; as in Proposition 4.13 set $x_0 := \max\{x \mid \operatorname{vol}_X(L_x) = 0\}$ and $\bar{x} = \max\{x \mid h^0_a(X, L) = 0\}$. Recall that $\bar{x} \geq x_0$. Consider the function

$$\nu(x) = \operatorname{vol}_X(L_x) - 2n! h^0_a(X, L_x).$$

We are going to prove that $\nu(x)$ is identically zero for $x \leq 0$. Since $\nu(0) = 0$ and $\nu(x) \geq 0$ for $x \leq 0$ by Theorem 6.8, it is enough to show that the left derivative $D^-\nu(x)$ is ≥ 0 for $x \leq 0$ (except possibly at x_0).

By Proposition 4.13 and Theorem 4.2, we have

$$D^-\nu(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < x_0 \\ n \operatorname{vol}_{X|M}(L_x) - 2n! \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{1}{d^{2q-2}} h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}_{|M_d}, (L_x)^{(d)}) & x > x_0 \end{cases}$$

Hence to show $D^-\nu(x) \geq 0$ for $x \leq 0$ it suffices to prove that for every $d \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ the function

$$\chi_d(x) := \operatorname{vol}_{X|M}(L_x) - \frac{2(n-1)!}{d^{2q-2}} h^0_{a_d}(X^{(d)}_{|M_d}, (L_x)^{(d)})$$

is non-negative for $x \leq 0$. Since χ_d is continuous by Proposition 4.13 and Lemma 4.9, it is enough to show $\chi_d(x) \geq 0$ for every rational $x \leq 0$. In addition, we may assume $x > \bar{x}$, since otherwise the inequality is trivially true.

Pick a positive integer t such that $(L_x)^{(t)}$ is integral and let P_x be the continuous moving part of $(L_x)^{(t)}$ (cf. §2.4). Using the fact that the restricted

volume is multiplicative (Lemma 4.14) and that P_x is nef we obtain

$$(td)^{2q}\operatorname{vol}_{X|M}(L_x) = \operatorname{vol}_{X^{(td)}|M^{(td)}}((L_x)^{(td)}) \geq \operatorname{vol}_{X^{(td)}|M^{(td)}}(P_x^{(d)}) = (P_x^{(d)})^{n-1}M^{(td)} = d^2(P_x^{(d)})^{n-1}M_d^{(t)} = d^2\operatorname{vol}_{X^{(td)}|M_d^{(t)}}((P_x)^{(d)}). \tag{7.1}$$

The line bundle P_x is numerically subcanonical since $x < 0$, hence its restriction to M_d is also numerically subcanonical and therefore since $n-1 \geq 1$ we obtain by Theorem 6.8

$$\operatorname{vol}_{X^{(td)}|M_d^{(t)}}(P_x^{(d)}) \geq 2(n-1)!h^0_{a_d}(X^{(dt)}_{|M_d^{(t)}}, P_x^{(d)}) = 2(n-1)!h^0_{a_{dt}}(X^{(dt)}_{|M_d^{(t)}}, (L_x)^{(dt)}). \tag{7.2}$$

The inequality $\chi_d(x) \geq 0$ now follows by combining (7.1) and (7.2) and using the multiplicativity of the continuous rank (Proposition 2.5).

Summing up, we have proven that for all $x \leq 0$ one has:

$$\operatorname{vol}_X(L_x) = 2\,n!\,h^0_a(X, L_x).$$

By Theorem 6.6, we deduce that for all $\bar{x} < x \leq 0$ we have $m_{L_x} = 1 \text{ or } 2$. Assume that for all rational $x \leq 0$ with $h^0_a(X, L_x) > 0$, we have that $m_{L_x} = 1$. Hence, by Remark 6.14 we would have that $\operatorname{vol}_X(L) \geq \frac{5}{2}\,n!\,h^0_a(X, L)$, a contradiction. So there exists a rational $t_0 = e_0/d_0^2 \leq 0$ such that $m_{L_{t_0}} = 2$.

Up to passing to $X^{(d)}$ for $d \gg 0$, taking a suitable blow-up of $X^{(d)}$ and tensoring by α general, we can assume that L_{t_0} is integral and decomposes as $|L_{t_0}| = |P| + D$, where D is effective, $|P|$ is base point free and $h^0_a(X, L_{t_0}) = h^0(X, P)$. Moreover, we may assume that the map $\varphi\colon X \to Z$ induced by $|P|$ is the eventual map and has degree $m_{L_{t_0}} = 2$. Finally, up to replacing both X and Z by modifications, we can assume that Z is smooth, so that there is a morphism $a'\colon Z \to A$ with $a = a' \circ \varphi$. Let $N \in \operatorname{Pic}(Z)$ be such that $P = \varphi^*(N)$; we have $h^0_a(X, P) = h^0_{a'}(Z, N)$. Hence we have that

$$2n!h^0_{a'}(Z, N) = 2n!h^0_a(X, L_{t_0}) = \operatorname{vol}_X(L_{t_0}) \geq \operatorname{vol}_X(P) = 2\operatorname{vol}_Z(N) \geq 2n!h^0_{a'}(Z, N),$$

where the last inequality follows by Theorem 6.8. So $\operatorname{vol}_Z(N) = n!h^0_{a'}(Z, N)$ and we can apply Theorem 7.1 to conclude that $q = n$ and that $a'\colon Z \to A$ is birational. Hence $\deg a = 2$.

(ii) Let $X \xrightarrow{\eta} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\bar{a}} A$ be the Stein factorization of a; since $\overline{X}$ is normal and A is smooth, $\bar{a}$ is a flat double cover and, in particular, $\overline{X}$ is Gorenstein and $K_{\overline{X}} = \bar{a}^*N$ for some line bundle N on A. It follows that $K_X = a^*N + E$, where E is η-exceptional. Note that N is ample, since otherwise K_X would not be big.

The usual projection formulae for double covers give $h^0_a(\overline{X}, K_{\overline{X}}) = h^0_a(N)$, hence for general $\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(A)$ the paracanonical system $|K_{\overline{X}} \otimes \alpha|$ is a pull back

from A. Since the moving part of $|K_X \otimes \alpha|$ is a subsystem of $\eta^*|K_{\overline{X}} \otimes \alpha|$, the map given by $|K_X \otimes \alpha|$ is composed with a.

One can argue exactly in the same way for the degree 2 map $a_d\colon X^{(d)} \to A$ and show that generically the map given by $|K_{X^{(d)}} \otimes \alpha|$ is composed with a_d. It follows that $m_{K_X} = 2$ and the eventual map of K_X is birationally equivalent to a.

(iii) Under this hypothesis, since L is big, we have that K_X is also big and so X is of general type. According to (i), we have an inclusion $L_{t_0} \otimes \alpha' \to L$ and by assumption we also have an inclusion $L \otimes \alpha \to K_X$, for some $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$. Since $m_{L_{t_0}} = m_{K_X} = 2$, we can conclude that $m_L = 2$ and that a is also birationally equivalent to the eventual map of L.

□

We close this section by discussing the second Clifford-Severi line in the case of dimension 1. Equality cannot occur if the genus of the curve is 1 and the cases $g = 2, 3$ are easily classified by hand; in the remaining cases, we need an ad-hoc argument.

Theorem 7.3. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 4$ and L a line bundle of degree $d \geq 1$. Let $a\colon C \to A$ be a strongly generating map to an abelian variety.*

Assume that $h^0_a(C, L) \geq 2$ and that $\deg L = 2h^0_a(C, L)$. Then one of the following cases occurs:

(i) *$L \equiv K_C$, $\dim A > 1$ and $|L|$ is generically birational;*
(ii) *A is an elliptic curve, the map a has degree 2, $L = a^*N$ for some line bundle N on A and $|L|$ is not generically birational.*

Proof. Up to replacing L by $L \otimes \alpha$ for some general $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$, we may assume that $h^0(C, L) = h^0_a(C, L) =: r + 1$. Plugging the relation $\deg L = 2h^0(C, L)$ into the Riemann-Roch formula we get $h^0(C, L) + h^0(C, K_C - L) = g - 1$, hence in particular $r \leq g - 2$.

Assume $r < g - 2$. In this case we can apply an inequality of Debarre and Fahlaoui [14, Proposition 3.3] on the dimension of the abelian varieties contained in the Brill-Noether locus $W^r_d(C)$, that in our case gives $\dim A \leq (d - 2r)/2 = 1$. The Brill-Noether locus $W^r_{2r+2}(C)$ is a proper subset of $J^{2r+2}(C)$ hence by [12, Cor. 3.9] either we are in case (ii), or $a\colon C \to A$ has degree 2, C is hyperelliptic and $L = r\Delta + a^*P$, where Δ is the hyperelliptic g^1_2 and $P \in A$ is a point. To exclude the latter case, we claim that a hyperelliptic and bielliptic curve has always genus $g \leq 3$.

Indeed, since the hyperelliptic involution commutes with all the automorphisms, the composition of the bielliptic and the hyperelliptic involutions gives a third involution on C. The first two involutions act on $H^0(C, \omega_C)$ with invariant subspaces of eigenvalue -1 of dimensions g and $g - 1$ respectively. So

the composition induces a double cover from C to a curve of genus $g-1$. By the Hurwitz formula we obtain the bound $g \leq 3$.

So we have $L = a^*N$, where N is a line bundle of degree $r+1$ on A and $L \otimes \alpha = a^*(N \otimes \alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$; since $h^0(A, N \otimes \alpha) = r+1 = h^0_a(C, L)$, it follows that $|L \otimes \alpha|$ is not birational for general α.

Assume now $r = g-2$, and therefore $L \equiv K_C$.

Since $g > 3$, if $|L \otimes \alpha|$ is not birational for general α in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$, then by the Clifford+ Theorem [1, III.3. ex.B.7] the induced map $\phi_{|L\otimes\alpha|}$ factorizes as a double cover σ of a curve D_α of genus 0 or 1, composed with a birational map $\phi_{L'_\alpha}$ such that the moving part of $|L \otimes \alpha|$ is $\sigma^*|L'_\alpha|$.

If $g(D_\alpha) = 0$ for general α, then C is hyperelliptic, σ is the hyperelliptic double cover and $L = r\Delta + F_\alpha$, where Δ is the g^1_2 and F_α is an effective divisor of degree 2. Sending α to F_α defines a generically injective rational map $f\colon A \to W_2(C)$. By [12, Cor. 3.9] we have, as before, $\dim A = 1$, and there is a degree 2 map $\beta\colon C \to A$ such that $f = \beta^*$. So C is both bielliptic and hyperelliptic, hence by the argument previously used it has genus 3, against our assumptions.

If $g(D_\alpha) = 1$ for α general, then the curve $D := D_\alpha$ does not depend on α and $L \otimes \alpha$ is a pull-back from D. Since a is strongly generating we have that $A = D$ and $\dim A = 1$. □

8. Examples

We consider the slope $\lambda(X)$ for smooth varieties X of general type and maximal Albanese dimension ("m.A.d.") with respect to the Albanese map (cf. Definition 6.3). Recall that by the Generic Vanishing theorem [20], [35] in this case $h^0_a(X, K_X) = \chi(X, K_X)$.

Our first examples involve covering constructions and have non-birational Albanese map.

Example 8.1 (Double covers)**.** Let Y be a smooth variety of m.A.d. of dimension $n > 1$ and let $\pi\colon X \to Y$ be a double cover given by the linear equivalence $2L \sim B$, where B is an ample effective divisor. If B is smooth then Y is also smooth and of m.A.d., and the usual formulae for double covers give $\chi(K_X) = \chi(K_Y) + \chi(K_Y + L)$ and $K_X = \pi^*(K_Y + L)$. The line bundle $K_Y + L$ is big, since K_Y is effective; if Y is minimal, then K_X is also nef and therefore X is minimal of general type. We have $h^1(Y, L^{-1}) = 0$ by Kodaira vanishing, hence $q(X) = q(Y)$ and it is easy to check that the Albanese map of X is $a_Y \circ \pi$, where a_Y is the Albanese map of Y.

If Y is an abelian variety of dimension n, then we get $\lambda(X) = 2n!$, i.e., the Clifford-Severi inequality of Theorem 6.8 is an equality in this case.

In general, to compute the slope of X one has to apply the Riemann-Roch theorem on Y and obtains a quite complicated formula. It is easier to understand the asymptotic behavior of $\lambda(X)$ when $L = mH$ with H a fixed ample

divisor and $m \gg 0$. If $X_m \to Y$ is a double cover with smooth branch divisor $B_m \in |2mH|$, then

$$\mathrm{vol}_{X_m}(K_{X_m}) = 2\,\mathrm{vol}(K_Y + mH) = 2m^n H^n + o(m^n)$$

where the second equality is implied by the fact that $\mathrm{vol}(K_Y+mH) = m^n\,\mathrm{vol}(H+\frac{1}{m}K_Y)$ and by the continuity of the volume function. Since $\chi(X_m) = \frac{m^n}{n!}H^n + o(m^n)$, we get

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty} \lambda(X_m) = 2n!.$$

Example 8.2 (Simple cyclic covers)**.** This is a generalization of Example 8.1.

Let Y be a smooth variety of general type and m.A.d., of dimension $n > 1$. A simple cyclic cover of $\pi\colon X \to Y$ of degree t is given by a linear equivalence of the form $tL \sim B$, with B a smooth effective divisor (cf. [33, § 2]), so that $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X = \oplus_0^{t-1} L^{-i}$. We assume in addition that B is ample. The variety X is smooth, since B is smooth, and the formula for $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ and Kodaira vanishing give $q(X) = h^1(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = h^1(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y) = q(Y)$. It is easy to check that the Albanese map of X is $a_Y \circ \pi$, where a_Y is the Albanese map of Y. We have $K_X = \pi^*(K_Y + (t-1)L)$ by the Hurwitz formula and $\chi(K_X) = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1}\chi(K_Y + iL)$. The line bundle $K_Y + (t-1)L$ is big, since K_Y is effective, hence X is of general type with $\chi(K_X) = \sum_0^{t-1}\chi(K_Y + iL)$; as in the previous case, X is minimal if Y is.

If Y is an abelian variety, then one can compute explicitly

$$\lambda(X) = n!\frac{t(t-1)^n}{\sum_0^{t-1} i^n}.$$

For instance, for $n = 2$ we get $\lambda(X) = 6(1 - \frac{1}{2t-1})$ and for $n = 3$ we get $\lambda(X) = 24(1 - \frac{1}{t})$. In general, $s(t) := \sum_0^{t-1} i^n$ is a degree $n+1$ polynomial in t; more precisely $s(t) = \frac{1}{n+1}t^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}t^n + o(t^n)$, hence for fixed n the slope $\lambda(X)$ tends to $(n+1)!$ from below for $t \to +\infty$.

As in Example 8.1, if Y is any variety of m.A.d., $L = mH$ for some ample line bundle H on Y and we let m go to infinity, then the slope approaches the value obtained when Y is an abelian variety.

Example 8.3 (Some non-Galois covers)**.** Let $n \geq 2$ and $t \geq 3$ be integers. Let Y be a smooth n-dimensional variety of m.A.d., let L be an ample divisor and let $X \in |L \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(t)|$ be a smooth divisor. We have $K_X = ((K_Y + L) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(t-2))\,|_X$, hence X is of general type. The first projection $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to Y$ induces a degree t cover $\pi\colon X \to Y$; by Lefschetz theorem the Albanese map of X is the composition of π with the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

We compute $\lambda(X)$ when Y is an abelian variety. In that case

$$\chi(K_X) = \chi(L \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(t-2)) = \frac{(t-1)L^n}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(X) = \frac{n!(nt+t-2n)}{t-1}.$$

So, the slope approaches $(n+1)!$ from below for $t \to \infty$.

If Y is a minimal variety of m.A.d. and $L = mH$ for some ample line bundle H on Y, then X is also minimal and $\mathrm{vol}(K_X)$ can be computed as an intersection number in $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$. So, as in the previous examples, when we let m go to infinity the slope approaches the value obtained when Y is an abelian variety.

Example 8.4 ("Small perturbations" of the slope)**.** The double cover construction of Example 8.1 involves the choice of an ample divisor L.

If one starts with any variety Y of general type and m.A.d. and performs the construction with the variety $Y^{(d)}$ and the line bundle $L = M_d$ given by the covering trick, by the multiplicativity properties of the volume and of the continuous rank, the slope of the variety $X^{(d)}$ that one obtains can be computed as:

$$\lambda(X^{(d)}) = \frac{2\,\mathrm{vol}(K_{Y^{(d)}} + M_d)}{h^0_{a_d}(Y^{(d)}, K_{Y^{(d)}} + M_d) + h^0_{a_d}(Y^{(d)}, K_{Y^{(d)}})} = \frac{2\,\mathrm{vol}(K_Y + \frac{1}{d^2}M)}{h^0_a(Y, K_Y + \frac{1}{d^2}M) + h^0_a(Y, K_Y)}.$$

So, by the continuity of the volume and continuous rank functions, we obtain $\lim_{d\to\infty} \lambda(X^{(d)}) = \lambda(Y)$. A similar computations gives the same result when $X^{(d)}$ is a simple cyclic cover of degree t of $Y^{(d)}$ branched on a divisor of $|tM_d|$ (cf. Example 8.2).

Note that the degree of the Albanese map gets multiplied by the degree $t \geq 2$ of the cover used in the construction. Hence, given any Y of m.A.d. we can construct X with $\lambda(X)$ arbitrarily close to $\lambda(Y)$ and Albanese map of arbitrarily large degree.

Example 8.5 (The slope is unbounded for $n \geq 3$)**.** One can write the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality for surfaces of general type in the form $\lambda(X) \leq 9$. The BMY type inequality $\lambda(X) \leq 72$ for Gorenstein minimal threefolds of general type is proven in [11]. In dimension $n \geq 3$ there exist $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein varieties of general type and m.A.d. with $\chi(K_X) = 0$ [15, Ex. 1.13]; hence an analogue of the BMY inequality cannot hold in general. However one might hope that for n-dimensional varieties with $\chi(K_X) > 0$ a bound of the form $\lambda(X) \leq C(n)$ hold. We use the construction of Example 8.4 to show that this is not the case. Let Y be a smooth variety of dimension $n \geq 3$ of general type and m.A.d. with $\chi(K_Y) = 0$ (note that the minimal model of Y is necessarily not Gorenstein). If $X^{(d)}$ is constructed as in Example 8.4, one has $\chi(K_{X_d}) > 0$ and $\lim_{d\to+\infty} \lambda(X^{(d)}) = \lambda(Y) = +\infty$.

The examples that follow have Albanese map of degree 1.

Example 8.6 (Complete intersections)**.** If A is an $(n+1)$-dimensional abelian variety and $X \subseteq A$ is a smooth ample divisor, then X is a minimal n-dimensional variety satisfying $\lambda(X) = (n+1)!$.

More generally, let $t > 0$ be an integer, let A be an abelian variety of dimension $n+t$, $n \geq 2$, and let $X \subseteq A$ be a smooth complete intersection of t divisors in $|L|$, where $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is ample. By adjunction we have $K_X = tL_{|X}$, hence X is minimal of general type. By Lefschetz theorem the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow A$ is the Albanese map of X. The restriction sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_X(tL) \to \mathcal{O}_A(tL) \to K_X \to 0$$

gives $\chi(K_X) = \chi(tL) - \chi(\mathcal{I}_X(tL))$. Twisting by tL the resolution of $\mathcal{I}_X$ given by the Koszul complex, we obtain

$$\chi(\mathcal{I}_X(tL)) = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{t}{i} \chi((t-i)L)$$

and

$$\chi(K_X) = \sum_{i=0}^{t} (-1)^i \binom{t}{i} \chi((t-i)L) = \frac{L^{n+t}}{(n+t)!} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (-1)^i \binom{t}{i} (t-i)^{n+t}.$$

Hence we have

$$\lambda(X) = \frac{(n+t)! t^n}{\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (-1)^i \binom{t}{i} (t-i)^{n+t}}.$$

For $t = 2$, this gives $\lambda(X) = \frac{(n+2)! 2^{n-1}}{2^{n+1}-1} > \frac{(n+2)!}{4} \geq (n+1)!$ and $\lambda(X) = \frac{48}{7}$ for $t = n = 2$.

For $t = 3$ it gives $\lambda(X) = \frac{(n+3)! 3^{n-1}}{3^{n+2} - 2^{n+3} + 1} > (n+1)!$ and $\lambda(X) = \frac{36}{5}$ for $t = 3$, $n = 2$.

As in the previous cases, replacing A by a smooth $(n+t)$-dimensional variety Y of m.A.d., taking X a smooth complete intersection of t divisors in $|mH|$ where H is an ample divisor and letting m go to infinity we obtain values of $\lambda(X)$ approaching the corresponding values for the case when Y is an abelian variety.

Example 8.7 (Products and symmetric products of curves)**.** Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. If X is the product of n curves of genus ≥ 2, then $\lambda(X) = 2^n n!$.

Let now C be a curve of genus g and let $X := C(n)$ be its n-th symmetric product. The variety X is smooth and the Albanese map is the addition map $C(n) \to J(C)$, induced by the Abel-Jacobi map $C \to J(C)$. By [32], one has $\chi(K_X) = \binom{g-1}{n}$.

Next we compute K_X. We denote by x the class of the divisor $C(n-1)+P \subseteq C(n)$, where $P \in C$ is a fixed point, and by θ the pull back of the theta divisor of $J(C)$ via the Albanese map. Observe that x is an ample class and θ is nef. Recall [1, Ch. VII.2] that if $n \geq 2g-1$, then $C(n) = \mathbb{P}(E) = \mathrm{Proj}(\oplus S^i(E^*))$

is a projective space bundle of rank $n-g$ over $J(C)$ where E^* is an ample line bundle on $J(C)$ with $c_1(E^*)=\theta$. In addition, x is the class of $\mathcal{O}_{C(n)}(1)$. Hence the canonical class of $C(n)$ is given by:

$$K_{C(n)} = \theta + (g-n-1)x. \tag{8.1}$$

If $n < 2g-1$, then we can embed $C(n)$ in $C(2g-1)$ as the intersection of $2g-1-n$ divisors algebraically equivalent to x and compute $K_{C(n)}$ by the adjunction formula. Hence formula (8.1) holds for every value of n and we have:

- if $n \leq g-1$ then $K_{C(n)}$ is ample
- if $n = g-1$ then $K_{C(n)}$ is nef and big
- if $n \geq g$ then $C(n)$ is not of general type.

In particular, for $n \leq g-1$ we have $\mathrm{vol}_{C(n)}(K_{C(n)}) = (K_{C(n)})^n$.

For $n=2$, we get $\lambda(X) = 8 - \frac{2}{(g-2)}$.

For $n = g-1$ the variety X is the desingularization of the theta divisor of $J(C)$ and (8.1) gives $\lambda(X) = (n+1)!$.

We now consider $n \leq g-2$. By Poincaré's formula (cf. [1, Ex. VII.B.1]), if $i \leq n$ we have $x^{n-i}\theta^i = \frac{g!}{(g-i)!}$ and therefore:

$$(K_{C(n)})^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} (g-n-1)^{n-i} \frac{g!}{(g-i)!} \tag{8.2}$$

In general, for $n \leq g-2$ we have

$$2^n(g-n-1)^n \leq (K_{C(n)})^n \leq 2^n \frac{g!}{(g-n)!}$$

and therefore

$$n!2^n \frac{(g-n-1)^n}{(g-1)(g-2)\dots(g-n)} \leq \lambda(X) \leq n!2^n \frac{g}{g-n} \leq n!2^{n-1}(n+2).$$

Hence for fixed n the slope of $C(n)$ tends to $n!2^n$ for $g \to +\infty$.

Question 8.8. By Theorem 7.1, if a big line bundle L on a variety X satisfies $\lambda(L) = n!$, then the map $a\colon X \to A$ is birational. It is immediate to check that if $M \in \mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is ample and $\Delta \geq 0$ is an a-exceptional divisor, then $\lambda(a^*M + \Delta) = \lambda(a^*M) = n!$. It would be interesting to know whether every L with $\lambda(L) = n!$ is of this type. Similarly, by Theorem 7.2 if a subcanonical line bundle L satisfies $\lambda(L) = 2n!$, then the map $a\colon X \to A$ is onto of degree 2 and it is easy to produce examples where $L = a^*M$ for an ample line bundle $M \in \mathrm{Pic}\, A$. Also in this case, one would like to know whether every subcanonical L with $\lambda(L) = 2n!$ is of the form $a^*M + \Delta$, for $M \in \mathrm{Pic}(A)$ and $\Delta \geq 0$ an a-exceptional divisor).

Question 8.9. Among the previous examples, only Examples 8.6 and 8.7 can have birational Albanese map. In both cases $\lambda(X) \geq (n+1)!$. So one may ask whether the inequality $\lambda(X) \geq \frac{5}{2}n!$ given in Theorem 6.9 may be strengthened to $\lambda(X) \geq (n+1)!$.

This is an interesting geographical problem already in the case of surfaces. By [10, Theorem D], we have that $\lambda(X) \geq 6$ provided Ω^1_X is globally generated outside a finite number of points. For example, this gives a positive answer in the dimension 2 case, if a is an immersion. No example of irregular surface with $\deg a = 1$ and slope less than 6 is known.

Question 8.10. The continuous rank function is hard to compute explicitly. In fact we are only able to do so in some examples (cf. §4). It would be very interesting to have an explicit description at least in the case where $X = C$ is a curve of genus g, $a\colon C \to A = J(C)$ is the Abel-Jacobi map and M is the pull back of the principal polarization on A. At the moment, it is not clear to us even whether ϕ depends only on g or also on the curve C.

Question 8.11. In all the examples that we are able to compute the continuous rank function is piecewise polynomial. One wonders whether this is always the case.

References

[1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths, J. Harris, Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **267**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[2] M.A. Barja, *Generalized Clifford Severi inequality and the volume of irregular varieties*. Duke Math. J. **164** (2015), no. 3, 541–568.

[3] M.A. Barja, R. Pardini, L. Stoppino, *Surfaces on the Severi line*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, (2016), no. 5, 734–743.

[4] M.A. Barja, R. Pardini, L. Stoppino, *The eventual paracanonical map of a variety of maximal Albanese dimension*, Preprint arXiv: 1606.03301 [math.AG]

[5] A. Beauville, *Annulation du H^1 et systèmes paracanoniques sur les surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **388** (1988), 149–157.

[6] A. Beauville, *Annulation du H^1 pour les fibrés en droites plats*, Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990), 1–15, Lecture Notes in Math., 1507, Springer, Berlin, 1992.

[7] C. Birkenhake, H. Lange, Complex Abelian Varieties. Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **302**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[8] S. Boucksom, C. Favre, M. Jonsson, *Differentiability of volumes of divisors and a problem of Teissier* J. Algebraic Geom. **18** (2009), no. 2, 279–308.

[9] A. Castorena, G. Pirola, *Some results on deformation of sections of vector bundles*, Collect. Math. **68** (2017), no. 1, 9–20.

[10] F. Catanese, *On the moduli spaces of surfaces of general type*. J. Diff. Geometry 19 (1984) 483-515.

[11] J.A. Chen, M. Chen, D.Q. Zhang, *The 5-canonical system on 3-folds of general type*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **603** (2007), 165–181.

[12] C. Ciliberto, M. Mendes Lopes, R. Pardini, *The classification of minimal irregular surfaces of general type with $K^2 = 2p_g$*, Algebr. Geom. **1** (4) (2014), 479–488.
[13] O. Debarre, *On coverings of simple abelian varieties*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **134** (2006), no. 2, 253–260.
[14] O. Debarre, R. Fahlaoui, *Abelian varieties in W^r_d and points of bounded degree on algebraic curves*, Compositio Mathematica, **88** (1993), 235–249.
[15] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, *Singularities of Theta divisors, and birational geometry of irregular varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10**, 1 (1997), 243–258.
[16] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Popa, M. Mustata and M. Nakamaye, *Asymptotic invariants of base loci*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56** 6 (2006), 1701–1734.
[17] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Popa, M. Mustata and M. Nakamaye, *Restricted volumes and base loci of linear series*, Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), 607–651.
[18] M. Fulger, J. Kollár, B. Lehmann, *Volume and Hilbert Functions of $\mathbb{R}$-divisors*, Michigan Math. J. **65** (2016), no. 2, 371–387.
[19] W. Fulton, R. Lazarsfeld, *Connectivity and its applications in Algebraic Geometry*, Algebraic Geometry (Chicago, 1980), L.N.M. vol. 862, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1981, 26–92.
[20] M. Green, R. Lazarsfeld, *Deformation theory, generic vanishing theorems, and some conjectures of Enriques, Catanese and Beauville*, Invent. Math., **90**, 1987, 416–440.
[21] M. Green, R. Lazarsfeld, *Higher obstructions to deforming cohomology groups of line bundles*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 1, 87–103.
[22] J. Kollár, *Shafarevich maps and automorphic forms*, Princeton Univ. Press,. Princeton, NJ, 1995.
[23] C.D. Hacon, J. McKernan, C. Xu, *On the birational automorphisms of varieties of general type*, Annals of Mathematics **177** (2013), 1077–1111.
[24] A. Holschbach, *A Chebotarev type density theorem in algebraic geometry*, Thesis (Ph.D.) University of Pennsylvania. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2008. 72 pp.
[25] Y. Kawamata, *Characterization of abelian varieties*, Compositio Math. **43** (1981), no. 2, 253–276.
[26] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in algebraic geometry. I, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. 48. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
[27] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in algebraic geometry. II, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. 49. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
[28] R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustata, *Convex bodies associated to linear series.* Ann. Sci .Ec. Norm. Supér. (4) 42 (2009), no. 5, 783–835.
[29] X. Lu, K. Zuo *On the slope conjecture of Barja and Stoppino for fibred surfaces.* arXiv:1504.06276
[30] X. Lu, K. Zuo *On the Severi type Inequalities for Irregular Surfaces.* arXiv:1504.06569.
[31] J. Harris, *A bound on the geometric genus of projective varieties.*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 8 (1981), no. 1, 35–68.
[32] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric products of an algebraic curve*, Topology 1 (4) (1962), 319–343, .
[33] R. Pardini, *Abelian covers of algebraic varieties*, J. reine angew. Math. **417** (1991), 191–213.
[34] R. Pardini, *The Severi inequality $K^2 \geq 4\chi$ for surfaces of maximal Albanese dimension*, Invent. Math. **159 3** (2005), 669–672.

[35] B. Pareschi, M. Popa, *GV sheaves, Fourier-Mukai transforms and Generic Vanishing*, Amer. J. Math. **133**(1) (2011), 235–271.
[36] T. Zhang, *Severi inequality for varieties of maximal Albanese dimension*, Math. Annalen **359** (2014), Issue 3, 1097–1114.

Miguel Ángel Barja, Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Avda. Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain
E-mail address: miguel.angel.barja@upc.edu

Rita Pardini, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Largo B. Pontecorvo 5, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
E-mail address: rita.pardini@unipi.it

Lidia Stoppino, Dipartimento di Scienza e Alta Tecnologia, Università dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio 11, 22100, Como, Italy
E-mail address: lidia.stoppino@uninsubria.it